

Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	74	60	54
Other net		(14)	(20)
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(2,547)</u>	<u>(2,061)</u>	<u>(3,625)</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	88	57	(23)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	449	375	(1,685)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	1,447	1,072	2,757
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 1,896</u>	<u>\$ 1,447</u>	<u>\$ 1,072</u>

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

### NOTE 1. Significant Accounting Policies

*Consolidation:* 3M is a diversified global manufacturer, technology innovator and marketer of a wide variety of products. All significant subsidiaries are consolidated. All significant intercompany transactions are eliminated. As used herein, the term 3M or Company refers to 3M Company and subsidiaries unless the context indicates otherwise.

*Foreign currency translation:* Local currencies generally are considered the functional currencies outside the United States. Assets and liabilities for operations in local-currency environments are translated at year-end exchange rates. Income and expense items are translated at average rates of exchange prevailing during the year. Cumulative translation adjustments are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity.

*Reclassifications:* Certain amounts in the prior years' consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

*Use of estimates:* The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

*Cash and cash equivalents:* Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and temporary investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased.

*Investments:* Investments primarily include the cash surrender value of life insurance policies, real estate not used in the business, venture capital and equity-method investments. Unrealized gains and losses relating to investments classified as available-for-sale are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity.

*Inventories:* Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost generally determined on a first-in, first-out basis.

*Property, plant and equipment:* Property, plant and equipment, including capitalized interest and internal engineering costs, are recorded at cost. Depreciation of property, plant and equipment generally is computed using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives of buildings and improvements primarily range from 10 to 40 years, with the majority in the range of 20 to 40 years. The estimated useful lives of machinery and equipment primarily range from three to 15 years, with the majority in the range of five to 10 years. Fully depreciated assets are retained in property and accumulated depreciation accounts until disposal. Upon disposal, assets and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the net amount, less proceeds from disposal, is charged or credited to operations. Property, plant and equipment amounts are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset (asset group) may not be recoverable. An impairment loss would be recognized when the carrying amount of an asset exceeds the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition. The amount of the impairment loss to be recorded is calculated by the excess of the asset's carrying value over its fair value. Fair value is generally determined using a discounted cash flow analysis.

*Goodwill:* Goodwill is the excess of cost of an acquired entity over the amounts assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. Goodwill is not amortized. Goodwill is tested for impairment annually, and will be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would indicate the carrying amount may be impaired. Impairment testing for goodwill is done at a reporting unit level. Reporting units are one level below the business segment level, but can be combined when reporting units within the same segment have similar economic characteristics. The majority of goodwill relates to and

is assigned directly to specific reporting units. An impairment loss generally would be recognized when the carrying amount of the reporting unit's net assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the reporting unit. The estimated fair value of a reporting unit is determined using earnings for the reporting unit multiplied by a price/earnings ratio for comparable industry groups, or by using a discounted cash flow analysis. The Company completed its annual goodwill impairment test in the fourth quarter of 2007 and determined that no goodwill was impaired.

*Intangible assets:* Intangible assets include patents, tradenames and other intangible assets acquired from an independent party. Intangible assets with an indefinite life, namely certain tradenames, are not amortized. Intangible assets with a definite life are amortized on a straight-line basis, with estimated useful lives ranging from one to 20 years. Indefinite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment annually, and will be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would indicate that the carrying amount may be impaired. Intangible assets with a definite life are tested for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the

carrying amount of an asset (asset group) may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized when the carrying amount of an asset exceeds the estimated undiscounted cash flows used in determining the fair value of the asset. The amount of the impairment loss to be recorded is calculated by the excess of the asset's carrying value over its fair value. Fair value is generally determined using a discounted cash flow analysis. Costs related to internally developed intangible assets, such as patents, are expensed as incurred, primarily in Research, development and related expenses.

*Revenue (sales) recognition:* The Company sells a wide range of products to a diversified base of customers around the world and has no material concentration of credit risk. Revenue is recognized when the risks and rewards of ownership have substantively transferred to customers. This condition normally is met when the product has been delivered or upon performance of services. The Company records estimated reductions to revenue for customer and distributor incentives, such as rebates, at the time of the initial sale. The estimated reductions are based on the sales terms, historical experience, trend analysis and projected market conditions in the various markets served. Sales, use, value-added and other excise taxes are not recognized in revenue.

The majority of 3M's sales agreements are for standard products and services with customer acceptance occurring upon delivery of the product or performance of the service. 3M also enters into agreements that contain multiple elements (such as equipment, installation and service) or non-standard terms and conditions. For multiple-element arrangements, 3M recognizes revenue for delivered elements when it has stand-alone value to the customer, the fair values of undelivered elements are known, customer acceptance of the delivered elements has occurred, and there are only customary refund or return rights related to the delivered elements. In addition to the preceding conditions, equipment revenue is not recorded until the installation has been completed if equipment acceptance is dependent upon installation, or if installation is essential to the functionality of the equipment. Installation revenues are not recorded until installation has been completed. For prepaid service contracts, sales revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the contract, unless historical evidence indicates the costs are incurred on other than a straight-line basis. License fee revenue is recognized as earned, and no revenue is recognized until the inception of the license term. On occasion, agreements will contain milestones, or 3M will recognize revenue based on proportional performance. For these agreements, and depending on the specifics, 3M may recognize revenue upon completion of a substantive milestone, or in proportion to costs incurred to date compared with the estimate of total costs to be incurred.

*Accounts Receivable and Allowances:* Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. The Company maintains allowances for bad debts, cash discounts, product returns and various other items. The allowance for doubtful accounts and product returns is based on the best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in existing accounts receivable and anticipated sales returns. The Company determines the allowances based on historical write-off experience by industry and regional economic data and historical sales returns. The Company reviews the allowance for doubtful accounts monthly. The Company does not have any significant off-balance-sheet credit exposure related to its customers.

*Advertising and merchandising:* These costs are charged to operations in the year incurred, and totaled \$469 million in 2007, \$471 million in 2006 and \$457 million in 2005.

*Research, development and related expenses:* These costs are charged to operations in the year incurred and are shown on a separate line of the Consolidated Statement of Income. Research, development and related expenses totaled \$1.368 billion in 2007, \$1.522 billion in 2006 and \$1.274 billion in 2005. In 2006, this included a \$95 million in-process research and development charge (discussed in Note 2) and \$75 million in restructuring actions (Note 4). Research and development expenses, covering basic scientific research and the application of scientific advances in the development of new and improved products and their uses, totaled \$788 million in 2007 compared to \$943 million in 2006, decreasing due to the \$95 million for purchased in-process research and development discussed above and also due to the pharmaceuticals business divestiture (Note 2). Research and development expenses totaled \$818 million in 2005. Related

expenses primarily include technical support provided by 3M to customers who are using existing 3M products, and internally developed patent costs, which include costs and fees incurred to prepare, file, secure and maintain patents.

*Internal-use software:* The Company capitalizes direct costs of materials and services used in the development of internal-use software. Amounts capitalized are amortized on a straight-line basis over a period of three to five years and are reported as a component of machinery and equipment within property, plant and equipment.

*Environmental:* Environmental expenditures relating to existing conditions caused by past operations that do not contribute to current or future revenues are expensed. Reserves for liabilities for anticipated remediation costs are recorded on an undiscounted basis when they are probable and reasonably estimable, generally no later than the completion of feasibility studies or the Company's commitment to a plan of action. Environmental expenditures for capital projects that contribute to current or future operations generally are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives.

*Income taxes:* The provision for income taxes is determined using the asset and liability approach. Under this approach, deferred income taxes represent the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and tax basis of assets and liabilities. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred tax assets when uncertainty regarding their reliability exists. As of December 31, 2007, no significant valuation allowances were recorded.

*Earnings per share:* The difference in the weighted average shares outstanding for calculating basic and diluted earnings per share is attributable to the dilution associated with the Company's stock-based compensation plans. Certain Management Stock Ownership Program average options outstanding during the years 2007, 2006 and 2005 were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because they would not have had a dilutive effect (21.6 million average options for 2007, 31.5 million average options for 2006, and 15.4 million average options for 2005). As discussed in Note 10, the conditions for conversion related to the Company's Convertible Notes have never been met. If the conditions for conversion are met, 3M may choose to pay in cash and/or common stock; however, if this occurs, the Company has the intent and ability to settle this debt security in cash. Accordingly, there was no impact on 3M's diluted earnings per share. The computations for basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31 follow:

<b>Earnings Per Share Computations</b>			
<b>(Amounts in millions, except per share amounts)</b>			
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>Numerator:</b>			
Net income	<b>\$ 4,096</b>	<b>\$ 3,851</b>	<b>\$ 3,111</b>
<b>Denominator:</b>			
Denominator for weighted average common shares outstanding basic	<b>718.3</b>	<b>747.5</b>	<b>764.9</b>
Dilution associated with the Company's stock-based compensation plans	<b>13.7</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>16.4</b>
Denominator for weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	<b>732.0</b>	<b>761.0</b>	<b>781.3</b>
Earnings per share basic	<b>\$ 5.70</b>	<b>\$ 5.15</b>	<b>\$ 4.07</b>
Earnings per share diluted	<b>\$ 5.60</b>	<b>\$ 5.06</b>	<b>\$ 3.98</b>

*Stock-based compensation:* In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004). SFAS No. 123R supersedes APB Opinion No. 25. Under APB Opinion No. 25, no compensation expense is recognized for employee stock option grants if the exercise price of the Company's stock option grants is at or above the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. Under SFAS No. 123R, compensation expense is recognized for both the General Employees Stock Purchase Plan (GESPP) and the Management Stock Ownership Plan (MSOP). SFAS No. 123R requires the determination of the fair value of the share-based compensation at the grant date and the recognition of the related expense over the period in which the share-based compensation vests. The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R effective January 1, 2006. The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified retrospective method. All prior periods have been restated to give effect to the fair-value-based method of accounting for awards granted in fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 1995. The Company believes that the modified retrospective application of this standard achieves the highest level of clarity and comparability among the presented periods. On November 10, 2005, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 123(R)-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based

Payment Awards (the FSP). The FSP provides that companies may elect to use a specified short-cut method to calculate the historical pool of windfall tax benefits upon adoption of SFAS No. 123R. The Company elected to use the short-cut method when it adopted SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006. Refer to Note 15 for additional information.

*Comprehensive income:* Total comprehensive income and the components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are presented in the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income. Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is composed of foreign currency translation effects (including hedges of net investments in international companies), defined benefit pension plan adjustments, unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale debt and equity securities, and unrealized gains and losses on cash flow hedging instruments.

*Derivatives and hedging activities:* All derivative instruments are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. The Company uses interest rate swaps, currency swaps, and forward and option contracts to manage risks generally

---

associated with foreign exchange rate, interest rate and commodity market volatility. All hedging instruments that qualify for hedge accounting are designated and effective as hedges, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. If the underlying hedged transaction ceases to exist, all changes in fair value of the related derivatives that have not been settled are recognized in current earnings. Instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting are marked to market with changes recognized in current earnings. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes and is not a party to leveraged derivatives. However, the Company does have contingently convertible debt that, if conditions for conversion are met, is convertible into shares of 3M common stock (refer to Note 10 in this document).

### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

As of December 31, 2005, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations (FIN 47). This accounting standard applies to the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and where the liability can be reasonably estimated. Conditional asset retirement obligations exist for certain of the Company's long-term assets. The fair value of these obligations is recorded as liabilities on a discounted basis. Over time the liabilities are accreted for the change in the present value and the initial capitalized costs are depreciated over the useful lives of the related assets. The adoption of FIN 47 effective December 31, 2005, resulted in the recognition of an asset retirement obligation liability of \$59 million at December 31, 2005, and an after-tax charge of \$35 million for 2005, which is reflected as a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle in the Consolidated Statement of Income. At December 31, 2007, the asset retirement obligation liability was \$59 million.

In February 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 155, Hybrid Instruments. SFAS No. 155 amends SFAS No. 133 and SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. SFAS No. 155 also resolves issues addressed in Statement 133 Implementation Issue No. D1, Application of Statement 133 to Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets. SFAS No. 155: a) permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, b) clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133, c) establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, d) clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives, and e) amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. The Company adopted SFAS No. 155 effective January 1, 2007; however, there was no material impact.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. This interpretation was effective as of January 1, 2007. Refer to Note 8 for additional information concerning this standard.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 establishes a single definition of fair value and a framework for measuring fair value, sets out a fair value hierarchy to be used to classify the source of information used in fair value measurements, and requires new disclosures of assets and liabilities measured at fair value based on their level in the hierarchy. SFAS No. 157 is effective for all fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 (January 1, 2008 for 3M) and is to be applied prospectively. In February 2008, the FASB issued Staff Positions No. 157-1 and No. 157-2 which partially defer the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for one year for certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities and remove certain leasing transactions from its scope. The Company is currently evaluating the impacts and disclosures of this standard, but would not expect SFAS No. 157 to have a material impact

on 3M's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R). Refer to Note 11 for additional information concerning this standard.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. SFAS No. 159 permits an entity to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. An entity shall report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. Upfront costs and fees related to items for which the fair value option is elected shall be recognized in earnings as incurred and not deferred. SFAS No. 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 159 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 (January 1, 2008 for 3M) and interim periods within those fiscal years. At the

---

effective date, an entity may elect the fair value option for eligible items that exist at that date. The entity shall report the effect of the first remeasurement to fair value as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. The Company has not elected the fair value option for eligible items that existed as of January 1, 2008.

In June 2007, the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-3, *Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities* that would require nonrefundable advance payments made by the Company for future R&D activities to be capitalized and recognized as an expense as the goods or services are received by the Company. EITF Issue No. 07-3 is effective for 3M with respect to new arrangements entered into beginning January 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impacts and disclosures of this standard, but would not expect EITF Issue No. 07-3 to have a material impact on 3M's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations*, which changes how business acquisitions are accounted. SFAS No. 141R requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize all (and only) the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction and establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. Certain provisions of this standard will, among other things, impact the determination of acquisition-date fair value of consideration paid in a business combination (including contingent consideration); exclude transaction costs from acquisition accounting; and change accounting practices for acquired contingencies, acquisition-related restructuring costs, in-process research and development, indemnification assets, and tax benefits. For 3M, SFAS No. 141R is effective for business combinations and adjustments to an acquired entity's deferred tax asset and liability balances occurring after December 31, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the future impacts and disclosures of this standard.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements*, an amendment of ARB No. 51, which establishes new standards governing the accounting for and reporting of noncontrolling interests (NCIs) in partially owned consolidated subsidiaries and the loss of control of subsidiaries. Certain provisions of this standard indicate, among other things, that NCIs (previously referred to as minority interests) be treated as a separate component of equity, not as a liability; that increases and decrease in the parent's ownership interest that leave control intact be treated as equity transactions, rather than as step acquisitions or dilution gains or losses; and that losses of a partially owned consolidated subsidiary be allocated to the NCI even when such allocation might result in a deficit balance. This standard also requires changes to certain presentation and disclosure requirements. For 3M, SFAS No. 160 is effective beginning January 1, 2009. The provisions of the standard are to be applied to all NCIs prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which are to be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. The Company is currently evaluating the future impacts and disclosures of this standard.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified the Emerging Issues Task Force consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-1, *Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements* that discusses how parties to a collaborative arrangement (which does not establish a legal entity within such arrangement) should account for various activities. The consensus indicates that costs incurred and revenues generated from transactions with third parties (i.e. parties outside of the collaborative arrangement) should be reported by the collaborators on the respective line items in their income statements pursuant to EITF Issue No. 99-19, *Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal Versus Net as an Agent*. Additionally, the consensus provides that income statement characterization of payments between the participants in a collaborative arrangement should be based upon existing authoritative pronouncements; analogy to such pronouncements if not within their scope; or a reasonable, rational, and consistently applied accounting policy election. EITF Issue No. 07-1 is effective for 3M

beginning January 1, 2009 and is to be applied retrospectively to all periods presented for collaborative arrangements existing as of the date of adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impacts and disclosures of this standard, but would not expect EITF Issue No. 07-1 to have a material impact on 3M's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

## **NOTE 2. Acquisitions and Divestitures**

### *Divestitures:*

In January 2007, 3M completed the sale of its global branded pharmaceuticals business in Europe to Meda AB. 3M received proceeds of \$817 million for this transaction and recognized, net of assets sold, a pre-tax gain of \$781 million (recorded in the Health Care segment) in 2007.

In December 2006, 3M completed the sale of its global branded pharmaceuticals business in the United States, Canada, and Latin America region and the Asia Pacific region, including Australia and South Africa. 3M received proceeds of \$1.209 billion for this transaction and recognized, net of assets sold, a pre-tax gain of \$1.074 billion (recorded in Health Care Business) in 2006.

Buyer and sale price information by region is as follows:

- Meda AB acquired 3M's pharmaceuticals business in Europe for \$817 million in 2007.
- Graceway Pharmaceuticals Inc. acquired 3M's pharmaceutical operations in the United States, Canada, and Latin America for \$860 million in 2006.
- Ironbridge Capital and Archer Capital acquired 3M's pharmaceuticals business in the Asia Pacific region, including Australia and South Africa for \$349 million in 2006.

The agreements are the result of a review of strategic options for the branded pharmaceuticals business and its immune response modifier (IRM) platform that 3M announced in April 2006. Under the agreements, the purchasers acquired regional marketing and intellectual property rights for 3M's well-known branded pharmaceuticals, including Aldara, Diffiam, Duromine, Tambocor, Maxair, Metrogel-Vaginal and Minitran. As part of the transaction, Graceway Pharmaceuticals also acquired the rights to certain IRM molecules.

In connection with these transactions, 3M entered into agreements whereby its Drug Delivery Systems Division became a source of supply to the acquiring companies. Because of the extent of 3M cash flows from these agreements in relation to those of the disposed-of businesses, the operations of the branded pharmaceuticals business are not classified as discontinued operations. See Note 4 for further discussion of restructuring actions that resulted from the divestiture of the Company's global branded pharmaceuticals business.

In June 2007, 3M completed the sale of its Opticom Priority Control Systems and Canoga Traffic Detection businesses to TorQuest Partners Inc., a Toronto-based investment firm. 3M received proceeds of \$80 million for this transaction and recognized, net of assets sold, transaction and other costs, a pre-tax gain of \$68 million (recorded in the Display and Graphics segment) in 2007.

### *Acquisitions:*

During 2007, the purchase price paid for business combinations totaled \$539 million, net of cash acquired, plus approximately 150 thousand shares of 3M common stock, which had a market value of approximately \$13 million.

The 16 business combinations completed during 2007 are summarized as follows:

- 1) In February 2007, 3M (Industrial and Transportation Business) purchased certain assets of Accuspray Application Technologies Inc., a manufacturer of spray paint equipment with a wide array of spray guns for architectural, automotive refinishing, industrial and woodworking applications.
- 2) In February 2007, 3M (Industrial and Transportation Business) purchased Sealed Air Corporation's 50 percent interest in PolyMask Corporation, a joint venture between 3M and Sealed Air that produces protective films. The acquisition of Sealed Air's interest results in 100 percent ownership by 3M.
- 3) In February 2007, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Acolyte Biomedica Ltd., a Salisbury,

U.K.-based provider of an automated microbial detection platform that aids in the rapid detection, diagnosis, and treatment of infectious diseases.

4) In May 2007, 3M (Safety, Security and Protection Services Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of E Wood Holdings PLC, a North Yorkshire, UK-based manufacturer of high performance protective coatings for oil, gas, water, rail and automotive industries.

5) In May 2007, 3M (Electro and Communications Business) purchased certain assets of Innovative Paper Technologies LLC, a manufacturer of inorganic-based technical papers, boards and laminates for a wide variety of high temperature applications and Powell LLC, a supplier of non-woven polyester mats for the electrical industry.

6) In May 2007, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased certain assets of Articulos de Papel DMS Chile, a Santiago, Chile-based manufacturer of disposable surgical packs, drapes, gowns and kits.

7) In June 2007, 3M (Industrial and Transportation Business) purchased certain assets of Diamond Productions Inc., a manufacturer of superabrasive diamond and cubic boron nitride wheels and tools for dimensioning and finishing hard-to-grind materials in metalworking, woodworking and stone fabrication markets in exchange for approximately 150 thousand shares of 3M common stock, which had a market value of \$13 million at the acquisition measurement date and was previously held as 3M treasury stock.

8) In July 2007, 3M (Safety, Security and Protection Services Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Rochford Thompson Equipment Ltd., a manufacturer of optical character recognition passport readers used by airlines and immigration authorities, headquartered in Newbury, U.K.

9) In August 2007, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased certain assets of Neoplast Co. Ltd., a manufacturer/distributor of surgical tapes and dressings and first aid bandages for both the professional and consumer markets across the Asia Pacific region.

10) In October 2007, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Abzil Industria e Comercio Ltda., a manufacturer of orthodontic products based in Sao Jose do Rio Preto, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

11) In October 2007, 3M (Industrial and Transportation Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Venture Tape Corp. and certain related entities, a global provider of pressure sensitive adhesive tapes based in Rockland, Mass.

12) In October 2007, 3M (Display and Graphics Business) purchased certain assets of Macroworx Media Pvt Ltd., a software company that specializes in the design and development of digital signage solutions based in Bangalore, India.

13) In October 2007, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Lingualcare Inc., a Dallas-based orthodontic technology and services company offering the iBraces system, a customized, lingual orthodontic solution.

14) In November 2007, 3M (Industrial and Transportation Business) purchased certain assets of Standard Abrasives, a manufacturer of coated abrasive specialties and non-woven abrasive products for the metalworking industry headquartered in Simi Valley, Ca.

15) In November 2007, 3M (Industrial and Transportation Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Unifam Sp. z o.o., a manufacturer of cut-off wheels, depressed center grinding wheels and flap discs based in Poland.

16) In November 2007, 3M (Industrial and Transportation Business) purchased certain assets of Bondo Corp., a manufacturer of auto body repair products for the automotive aftermarket and various other professional and consumer applications based in Atlanta, Ga.

In addition to the business combinations above, 3M periodically acquires certain tangible and/or intangible assets and purchases interests in certain enterprises that do not otherwise qualify for accounting as business combinations. These transactions are largely reflected as additional asset purchase and investment activity.

Purchased identifiable intangible assets for the 16 business combinations closed during the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 totaled \$124 million and will be amortized on a straight-line basis over lives ranging from 2 to 10 years (weighted-average life of six years).

In 2007 and 2006, pro forma information related to acquisitions was not included because the impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations was not considered to be material. There were no material in-process research and development charges associated with 2007, while 2006 included \$95 million in charges for the Brontes Technologies Inc. acquisition. The purchase price allocation of certain

2007 business combinations is considered preliminary. The impact on the Consolidated Balance Sheet of the purchase price allocations related to acquisitions and adjustments relative to other acquisitions within the allocation period follow:

Asset (Liability) (Millions)	2007 Impact	2006 Impact
Accounts receivable	\$ 69	\$ 76
Inventory	79	55
Other current assets	5	8
Property, plant, and equipment net	68	65
Purchased intangible assets	131	282
Purchased goodwill	326	536
In-process R&D	1	95
Accounts payable and other current liabilities, net of other assets	(115)	(152)
Deferred tax liability	(12)	(77)
<b>Net assets acquired</b>	<b>\$ 552</b>	<b>\$ 888</b>
<b>Supplemental information:</b>		
Cash paid	\$ 546	\$ 962
Less: Cash acquired	7	74
Cash paid, net of cash acquired	\$ 539	\$ 888
Non-cash (3M shares at fair value)	13	
<b>Net assets acquired</b>	<b>\$ 552</b>	<b>\$ 888</b>

*Year 2006 acquisitions:*

During the 12 months ended December 31, 2006, 3M completed 19 business combinations for a total purchase price of \$888 million, net of cash acquired. Purchased identifiable intangible assets of \$282 million for these acquisitions will be amortized on a straight-line basis over lives ranging from 1 to 17 years (weighted-average life of 9 years). The purchase price of several of these acquisitions is subject to increases, which could be triggered by the achievement of certain milestones.

The largest of these acquisitions was the August 2006 purchase of 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Security Printing and Systems Limited (Safety, Security and Protection Services Business) from authentos GmbH, Germany. The acquired company is a producer of finished, personalized passports and secure cards.

In October 2006, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Brontes Technologies Inc. (Brontes), a Lexington, Massachusetts-based developer of proprietary 3-D imaging technology for dental and orthodontic applications, for \$95 million in cash. Brontes was a development stage enterprise that did not yet have revenues from its principal operations and the technology acquired did not have any alternative future use. This transaction resulted in a 2006 charge of \$95 million, or \$0.13 per diluted share, reflecting the write-off of acquired in-process research and development costs, which are recognized as research, development and related expenses in the Consolidated Statement of Income.

The 17 additional business combinations are summarized as follows:

- 1) In January 2006, 3M (Consumer and Office Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding common shares of Interchemall Dom., a provider of household cleaning products based in Poland.
- 2) In March 2006, 3M (Industrial and Transportation Business) purchased certain assets of General Industrial Diamond Company Inc., a U. S. operation. The acquired company is a manufacturer of superabrasive grinding wheels, dressing tools and machines used to dimension and finish hard-to-grind materials in the industrial and commercial markets.
- 3) In April 2006, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of OMNII Oral Pharmaceuticals, a provider of differentiated preventive dental products, solutions and support for dental professionals.
- 4) In April 2006, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased certain assets of ClozeX Medical LLC, a provider of unique skin closure devices to treat lacerations and close surgical incisions. The agreement gives 3M exclusive worldwide rights for the manufacturing and distribution

of ClozeX Wound Closures.

5) In June 2006, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of SBG (Software und Beratung im Gesundheitswesen) GmbH, a Berlin-based developer of software for managing diagnosis-related information in hospitals.

6) In June 2006, 3M (Safety, Security and Protection Services Business) purchased certain assets of POMP Medical and Occupational Health Products LLC, a Porto Alegre, Brazil-based provider of earplugs, eyewear and hand cream.

7) In July 2006, 3M (Industrial and Transportation Business) purchased certain assets of Pinnacle Distribution Concepts Inc., a leading transportation management system (TMS) provider specializing in the delivery of Web-based, on-demand solutions.

8) In July 2006, 3M (Electro and Communications Business) purchased certain assets of SCC Products Inc. and JJ Converting LLC, both based in Sanford, N.C. SCC Products Inc. is a provider of flexible static control packaging and workstation products for electronic devices. JJ Converting LLC is a producer of films used to make static control bags.

9) In August 2006, 3M (Display and Graphics Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Archon Technologies Inc., a Denver, Colorado-based provider of enterprise software solutions for motor vehicle agencies.

10) In August 2006, 3M (Safety, Security and Protection Services Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Aerion Technologies, a Denver, Colorado-based maker of safety products, including heat stress monitors, thermal cameras and carbon monoxide detectors.

11) In September 2006, 3M (Electro and Communications Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Credence Technologies Inc., a Soquel, California-based provider of instruments and high-end monitoring equipment for electrostatic discharge control and electromagnetic compliance.

12) In October 2006, 3M (Consumer and Office Business) purchased certain assets of Nylonge Corp., a global provider of household cleaning products, including cellulose sponges, scrub sponges and household wipes.

13) In October 2006, 3M (Industrial and Transportation Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of NorthStar Chemicals, Inc., a Cartersville, Georgia-based adhesive manufacturer.

14) In November 2006, 3M (Industrial and Transportation Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Global Beverage Group Inc., a Canadian-based provider of delivery management software solutions for the direct-store-delivery of consumer packaged goods.

15) In November 2006, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Biotrace International PLC, a Bridgend, UK-based manufacturer and supplier of industrial microbiology products used in food processing safety, health care, industrial hygiene and defense applications.

16) In December 2006, 3M (Electro and Communications Business) purchased certain assets of Mahindra Engineering and Chemical Products LTD, an India-based manufacturer of cable jointing kits and accessories.

17) In December 2006, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of SoftMed Systems Inc., a Maryland-based provider of health information management software and services that improve the workflow and efficiency of health care organizations.

The 2006 impact on the Consolidated Balance Sheet of the purchase price allocations related to the 2006 acquisitions and adjustments relative to other acquisitions within the allocation period were provided in the preceding table.

---

*Year 2005 acquisitions:*

The Company acquired CUNO on August 2, 2005. The operating results of CUNO are included in the Industrial and Transportation Business segment. CUNO is engaged in the design, manufacture and marketing of a comprehensive line of filtration products for the separation, clarification and purification of fluids and gases. 3M and CUNO have complementary sets of filtration technologies, creating an opportunity to bring an even wider range of filtration solutions to customers around the world. 3M acquired CUNO for approximately \$1.36 billion, comprised of \$1.27 billion of cash paid (net of cash acquired) and the acquisition of \$80 million of debt, most of which has

been repaid.

Purchased identifiable intangible assets of \$268 million for the CUNO acquisition will be amortized on a straight-line basis over lives ranging from 5 to 20 years (weighted-average life of 15 years). In-process research and development charges from the CUNO acquisition were not material. Pro forma information related to this acquisition is not included because its impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations is not considered to be material. The allocation of the purchase price is presented in the table that follows.

<b>2005 CUNO ACQUISITION</b>	
<b>Asset (Liability)</b>	
<b>(Millions)</b>	
Accounts receivable	\$ 96
Inventory	61
Property, plant, and equipment net	121
Purchased intangible assets	268
Purchased goodwill	992
Other assets	30
Deferred tax liability	(102)
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	(104)
Interest bearing debt	(80)
Other long-term liabilities	(16)
<b>Net assets acquired</b>	<b>\$ 1,266</b>
Supplemental information:	
Cash paid	\$ 1,294
Less: Cash acquired	28
<b>Cash paid, net of cash acquired</b>	<b>\$ 1,266</b>

During the year ended December 31, 2005, 3M entered into two immaterial additional business combinations for a total purchase price of \$27 million, net of cash acquired.

- 1) 3M (Electro and Communications Business) purchased certain assets of Siemens Ultrasound division's flexible circuit manufacturing line, a U.S. operation. The acquired operation produces flexible interconnect circuits that provide electrical connections between components in electronics systems used primarily in the transducers of ultrasound machines.
- 2) 3M (Display and Graphics Business) purchased certain assets of Mercury Online Solutions Inc., a U.S. operation. The acquired operation provides hardware and software technologies and network management services for digital signage and interactive kiosk networks.

**NOTE 3. Goodwill and Intangible Assets**

As discussed in Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, effective in the first quarter of 2007, 3M made certain product moves between its business segments, which resulted in changes in the goodwill balances by business segment as presented below. For those changes that resulted in reporting unit changes, the Company applied the relative fair value method to determine the impact to reporting units. SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, requires that goodwill be tested for impairment at least annually and when reporting units are changed.

Purchased goodwill from acquisitions totaled \$326 million in 2007, \$55 million of which is deductible for tax purposes. Purchased goodwill from acquisitions totaled \$536 million in 2006, \$41 million of which is deductible for tax purposes. The sale of 3M's global branded pharmaceuticals business (Health Care) resulted in the write-off of \$54 million in goodwill, which is reflected in the 2006 translation and other column below. Changes in foreign currency exchange rates impacted both 2007 and 2006 goodwill balances. The goodwill balance by business segment follows:

**Goodwill**

<b>(Millions)</b>	<b>Dec. 31, 2005 Balance</b>	<b>2006 acquisition activity</b>	<b>2006 translation and other</b>	<b>Dec. 31, 2006 Balance</b>	<b>2007 acquisition activity</b>	<b>2007 translation and other</b>	<b>Dec. 31, 2007 Balance</b>
-------------------	------------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------

Industrial and Transportation	\$ 1,283	\$ 26	\$ (7)	\$ 1,302	\$ 155	\$ 67	\$ 1,524
Health Care	559	191	(37)	713	73	53	839
Display and Graphics	871	12	3	886		8	894
Consumer and Office	71	11	7	89		5	94
Safety, Security and Protection Services	234	264	27	525	70	16	611
Electro and Communications	512	32	23	567	28	32	627
<b>Total Company</b>	<b>\$ 3,530</b>	<b>\$ 536</b>	<b>\$ 16</b>	<b>\$ 4,082</b>	<b>\$ 326</b>	<b>\$ 181</b>	<b>\$ 4,589</b>

#### Acquired Intangible Assets

The carrying amount and accumulated amortization of acquired intangible assets as of December 31 follow:

(Millions)	2007	2006
Patents	\$ 446	\$ 419
Other amortizable intangible assets (primarily tradenames and customer-related intangibles)	801	641
Non-amortizable intangible assets (tradenames)	75	68
<b>Total gross carrying amount</b>	<b>\$ 1,322</b>	<b>\$ 1,128</b>
Accumulated amortization patents	(305)	(266)
Accumulated amortization other	(216)	(154)
<b>Total accumulated amortization</b>	<b>(521)</b>	<b>(420)</b>
<b>Total intangible assets net</b>	<b>\$ 801</b>	<b>\$ 708</b>

Amortization expense for acquired intangible assets for the years ended December 31 follows:

(Millions)	2007	2006	2005
Amortization expense	\$ 87	\$ 89	\$ 48

Expected amortization expense for acquired intangible assets recorded as of December 31, 2007 follows:

(Millions)	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	After 2012
Amortization expense	\$ 100	\$ 98	\$ 89	\$ 81	\$ 72	\$ 286

The preceding expected amortization expense is an estimate. Actual amounts of amortization expense may differ from estimated amounts due to additional intangible asset acquisitions, changes in foreign currency exchange rates, impairment of intangible assets, accelerated amortization of intangible assets and other events.

#### NOTE 4. Restructuring Actions and Other Exit Activities

##### Restructuring Actions:

During the fourth quarter of 2006 and the first six months of 2007, management approved and committed to undertake the following restructuring actions:

- Pharmaceuticals business actions - employee-related, asset impairment and other costs pertaining to the Company's exit of its branded pharmaceuticals operations. These costs included severance and benefits for pharmaceuticals business employees who are not obtaining employment with the buyers as well as impairment charges associated with certain assets not transferred to the buyers.
- Overhead reduction actions - employee-related costs for severance and benefits, costs associated with actions to reduce the Company's cost structure.
- Business-specific actions - employee-related costs for severance and benefits, fixed and intangible asset impairments, certain contractual obligations, and expenses from the exit of certain product lines.

Components of these restructuring actions include:

**Restructuring Actions**

(Millions)	Employee- Related Items And Benefits	Contract Terminations and Other	Asset Impairments	Total
<b>Expense incurred in 2006:</b>				
Pharmaceuticals business actions	\$ 97	\$ 8	\$ 61	\$ 166
Overhead reduction actions	112			112
Business-specific actions	34	8	83	125
Total 2006 expense	<u>\$ 243</u>	<u>\$ 16</u>	<u>\$ 144</u>	<u>\$ 403</u>
<b>Non-cash changes in 2006:</b>				
Pharmaceuticals business actions	\$ (19)	\$	\$ (61)	\$ (80)
Overhead reduction actions	(12)			(12)
Business-specific actions	(4)		(83)	(87)
Total 2006 non-cash	<u>\$ (35)</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ (144)</u>	<u>\$ (179)</u>
<b>Cash payments in 2006:</b>				
Pharmaceuticals business actions	\$	\$ (2)	\$	\$ (2)
Overhead reduction actions				
Business-specific actions				
Total 2006 cash payments	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ (2)</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ (2)</u>
<b>Accrued liability balances as of Dec. 31, 2006:</b>				
Pharmaceuticals business actions	\$ 78	\$ 6	\$	\$ 84
Overhead reduction actions	100			100
Business-specific actions	30	8		38
Total accrued balance	<u>\$ 208</u>	<u>\$ 14</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 222</u>
<b>Expenses (credits) incurred in 2007:</b>				
Pharmaceuticals business actions	\$ (12)	\$ (4)	\$	\$ (16)
Overhead reduction actions	2			2
Business-specific actions	13	4	35	52
2007 expense	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 35</u>	<u>\$ 38</u>
<b>Non-cash changes in 2007:</b>				
Pharmaceuticals business actions	\$ (21)	\$ 4	\$	\$ (17)
Overhead reduction actions	(5)			(5)
Business-specific actions	(12)	(4)	(35)	(51)
2007 non-cash	<u>\$ (38)</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ (35)</u>	<u>\$ (73)</u>
<b>Cash payments in 2007:</b>				
Pharmaceuticals business actions	\$ (40)	\$ (6)	\$	\$ (46)
Overhead reduction actions	(87)			(87)
Business-specific actions	(26)	(8)		(34)
2007 cash payments	<u>\$ (153)</u>	<u>\$ (14)</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ (167)</u>
<b>Accrued liability balances as of Dec. 31, 2007:</b>				
Pharmaceuticals business actions	\$ 5	\$	\$	\$ 5
Overhead reduction actions	10			10
Business-specific actions	5			5
Total accrued liability balance	<u>\$ 20</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 20</u>

**Income statement line in which the preceding 2007 and 2006 expenses (credits) are reflected:**

(Millions)	2007	2006
Cost of sales	\$ 40	\$ 130
Selling, general and administrative expenses	5	198
Research, development and related expenses	(7)	75
Total	\$ 38	\$ 403

**The amount of expenses (credits) incurred in 2007 and 2006 associated with the preceding are reflected in the Company's business segments as follows:**

(Millions)	2007	2006
Industrial and Transportation	\$ 2	\$ 15
Health Care	(11)	293
Display and Graphics	3	39
Safety, Security and Protection Services	28	10
Electro and Communications	18	46
Corporate and Unallocated	(2)	
Total	\$ 38	\$ 403

Actions with respect to the above activities were substantially completed in 2007 and additional charges and adjustments are not expected to be material.

In connection with this targeted restructuring plan, the Company eliminated a total of approximately 1,900 positions from various functions within the Company. Approximately 390 positions were pharmaceuticals business employees, approximately 960 positions related primarily to corporate staff overhead reductions, and approximately 550 positions were business-specific reduction actions. Of the 1,900 employment reductions, about 58% are in the United States, 21% in Europe, 12% in Latin America and Canada, and 9% in the Asia Pacific area. As a result of the second-quarter 2007 phase-out of operations at a New Jersey roofing granule facility and the sale of the Company's Opticom Priority Control Systems and Canoga Traffic Detection businesses, the Company eliminated approximately 100 additional positions.

Employee-related severance charges are largely based upon distributed employment policies and substantive severance plans and were reflected in the quarter in which management approved the restructuring actions. Severance amounts for which affected employees were required to render service in order to receive benefits at their termination dates were measured at the date such benefits were communicated to the applicable employees and recognized as expense over the employees' remaining service periods.

Non-cash employee-related changes in 2007 and 2006 primarily relate to special termination pension and medical benefits granted to certain U.S. eligible employees. These pension and medical benefits were reflected as a component of the benefit obligation of the Company's pension and medical plans as of December 31, 2007 and 2006. In addition, these changes also reflect non-cash stock option expense due to the reclassification of certain employees age 50 and older to retiree status, resulting in a modification of their original stock option awards for accounting purposes.

Contract termination and other charges primarily reflect costs to terminate a contract before the end of its term (measured at fair value at the time the Company provided notice to the counterparty) or costs that will continue to be incurred under the contract for its remaining term without economic benefit to the Company.

Business-specific asset impairment charges for 2007 totaled \$35 million. This included charges of \$24 million related to property, plant and equipment associated with the Company's decision to phase-out operations at a New Jersey roofing granule facility (Safety, Security and Protection Services segment) and charges of \$11 million (\$10 million related to property, plant and equipment and \$1 million related to intangible assets) related to the Company's decision to close an Electro and Communications facility in Wisconsin. Asset impairment charges related to intangible assets and property, plant and equipment reflect the excess of the assets' carrying values over their fair values.

Asset impairment charges in 2006 associated with the pharmaceuticals business and business-specific actions include \$109 million relative to property, plant and equipment; \$30 million relative to intangible assets; and \$5 million relative to other assets. Impairment charges relative to intangible assets and property, plant and equipment reflect the excess of the assets' carrying values over their fair values as discussed in Note 1. The pharmaceuticals business asset impairment charges are for certain assets not transferred to the buyers and primarily relate to the write-down of the assets to salvage value. The business-specific asset impairment charges primarily relate to

decisions the Company made in the fourth quarter of 2006 to exit certain marginal product lines in the Display and Graphics segment and Electro and Communications segment.

*Other Exit Activities:*

During the second half of 2007, the Company recorded net pre-tax charges of \$45 million related to exit activities. These charges related to employee reductions and fixed asset impairments, including the consolidation of certain flexible circuit manufacturing operations (\$23 million recorded in the Electro and Communications segment) and other actions, primarily in the Display and Graphics segment and Industrial and Transportation segment. These charges were recorded in cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expenses and research, development and related expenses.

**NOTE 5. Supplemental Balance Sheet Information**

(Millions)	2007	2006
<b>Other current assets</b>		
Product and other insurance receivables	\$ 220	\$ 255
Deferred income taxes	428	412
Prepaid expenses and other	501	658
Total other current assets	<u>\$ 1,149</u>	<u>\$ 1,325</u>
<b>Investments</b>		
Available-for-sale (fair value)	\$ 16	\$ 14
Equity-method	64	86
Cash surrender value of life insurance policies, real estate and other (cost, which approximates fair value)	218	214
Total investments	<u>\$ 298</u>	<u>\$ 314</u>
<b>Property, plant and equipment at cost</b>		
Land	\$ 303	\$ 281
Buildings and leasehold improvements	5,496	5,002
Machinery and equipment	11,801	11,130
Construction in progress	684	505
Capital leases	106	99
Gross property, plant and equipment	18,390	17,017
Accumulated depreciation*	(11,808)	(11,110)
Property, plant and equipment net	<u>\$ 6,582</u>	<u>\$ 5,907</u>

\*Includes accumulated depreciation for capital leases of \$42 million for 2007 and \$37 million for 2006.

<b>Other assets</b>		
Product and other insurance receivables	\$ 318	\$ 373
Deferred income taxes	176	253
Other	234	150
Total other assets	<u>\$ 728</u>	<u>\$ 776</u>
<b>Other current liabilities</b>		
Accrued trade payables	\$ 458	\$ 556
Employee benefits and withholdings	228	168
Deferred income	323	299
Property and other taxes	169	176
Product and other claims	120	115
Non-funded pension benefits	35	31
Deferred income taxes	22	7

Other	478	409
Total other current liabilities	<u>\$ 1,833</u>	<u>\$ 1,761</u>

Accounts payable (included as a separate line item in the Consolidated Balance Sheet) includes drafts payable on demand of \$44 million and \$65 million as of December 31, 2007, and 2006, respectively.

**Supplemental Balance Sheet Information (continued)**

(Millions)	2007	2006
<b>Other liabilities</b>		
Non-funded pension and postretirement benefits	\$ 1,348	\$ 1,437
Employee benefits	576	602
Product and other claims	372	311
Deferred income taxes	355	84
Long term taxes payable	310	
Minority interest in subsidiaries	325	278
Deferred income	36	50
Capital lease obligations	69	65
Other	175	138
Total other liabilities	<u>\$ 3,566</u>	<u>\$ 2,965</u>

**NOTE 6. Supplemental Stockholders Equity and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Information**

Common stock (\$.01 par value per share) of 3.0 billion shares is authorized, with 944,033,056 shares issued. Treasury stock is reported at cost, with 234,877,025 shares at December 31, 2007, 209,670,254 shares at December 31, 2006, and 189,494,669 shares at December 31, 2005. Preferred stock, without par value, of 10 million shares is authorized but unissued.

The components of the ending balances of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as of December 31 follow:

**Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

(Millions)	2007	2006	2005
<b>Cumulative translation adjustment</b>			
Balance at January 1	\$ 210	\$ (296)	\$ 282
Pre-tax amount	456	503	(597)
Tax effect	76	3	19
Net of tax amount	532	506	(578)
Balance at December 31	<u>742</u>	<u>210</u>	<u>(296)</u>
<b>Defined benefit pension plans adjustment</b>			
Balance at January 1	(2,067)	(156)	(110)
Pre-tax amount	941	(3,208)	(28)
Tax effect	(327)	1,297	(18)
Net of tax amount	614	(1,911)	(46)
Balance at December 31	<u>(1,453)</u>	<u>(2,067)</u>	<u>(156)</u>
<b>Unrealized gain (loss) on debt and equity securities</b>			
Balance at January 1	2	3	2
Pre-tax amount	(16)	(1)	2
Tax effect	6		(1)
Net of tax amount	(10)	(1)	1
Balance at December 31	<u>(8)</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
<b>Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedging instruments</b>			
Balance at January 1	(18)	38	(42)

Pre-tax amount	(24)	(85)	126
Tax effect	14	29	(46)
Net of tax amount	(10)	(56)	80
Balance at December 31	(28)	(18)	38
<b>Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)</b>			
Balance at January 1	(1,873)	(411)	132
Pre-tax amount	1,374	(2,791)	(497)
Tax effect	(248)	1,329	(46)
Net of tax amount	1,126	(1,462)	(543)
Balance at December 31	\$ (747)	\$ (1,873)	\$ (411)

57

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R). This standard eliminated the requirement for a minimum pension liability adjustment that was previously required under SFAS No. 87 and required employers to recognize the underfunded or overfunded status of a defined benefit plan as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position. In 2006, as a result of the implementation of SFAS No. 158, the Company recognized an after-tax decrease in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$1.187 billion and \$513 million for the U.S. and International pension benefit plans, respectively, and \$218 million for the postretirement health care and life insurance benefit plan. See Note 11 for additional detail.

Reclassification adjustments are made to avoid double counting in comprehensive income items that are also recorded as part of net income. In 2007, as disclosed in the net periodic benefit cost table in Note 11, \$198 million pre-tax (\$123 million after-tax) were reclassified to earnings from accumulated other comprehensive income to pension and postretirement expense in the income statement. These pension and postretirement expense amounts are shown in the table in Note 11 as amortization of transition (asset) obligation, amortization of prior service cost (benefit) and amortization of net actuarial (gain) loss. Other reclassification adjustments (except for cash flow hedging instruments adjustments provided in Note 12) were not material. No tax provision has been made for the translation of foreign currency financial statements into U.S. dollars.

#### NOTE 7. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

(Millions)	2007	2006	2005
Cash income tax payments	\$ 1,999	\$ 1,842	\$ 1,277
Cash interest payments	162	119	79
Capitalized interest	25	16	12

Individual amounts in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows exclude the impacts of acquisitions, divestitures and exchange rate impacts, which are presented separately. Other net in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows within operating activities in 2007 and 2006 includes changes in liabilities related to 3M's restructuring actions (Note 4) and in 2005 includes the non-cash impact of adopting FIN 47 (\$35 million cumulative effect of accounting change).

Transactions related to investing and financing activities with significant non-cash components are as follows: In 2007, 3M purchased certain assets of Diamond Productions, Inc. for approximately 150 thousand shares of 3M common stock, which has a market value of approximately \$13 million at the acquisition's measurement date. Liabilities assumed from acquisitions are provided in the tables in Note 2.

58

#### NOTE 8. Income Taxes

Income Before Income Taxes, Minority Interest and Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change (Millions)	2007	2006	2005
United States	\$ 2,820	\$ 3,191	\$ 2,604
International	3,295	2,434	2,224
Total	\$ 6,115	\$ 5,625	\$ 4,828

Provision for Income Taxes (Millions)	2007	2006	2005
<b>Currently payable</b>			
Federal	\$ 1,116	\$ 1,087	\$ 709
State	58	128	82
International	779	824	704
<b>Deferred</b>			
Federal	(105)	(261)	127
State	1	(24)	11
International	115	(31)	(6)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,964</b>	<b>\$ 1,723</b>	<b>\$ 1,627</b>

**Components of Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities  
(Millions)**

	2007	2006
<b>Accruals not currently deductible</b>		
Employee benefit costs	\$ 240	\$ 206
Product and other claims	258	190
Pension costs	(99)	478
Restructuring costs	2	66
Stock-based compensation	377	335
Product and other insurance receivables	(154)	(156)
Accelerated depreciation	(403)	(541)
Other	6	(4)
<b>Net deferred tax asset (liability)</b>	<b>\$ 227</b>	<b>\$ 574</b>

Reconciliation of Effective Income Tax Rate	2007	2006	2005
Statutory U.S. tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income taxes net of federal benefit	0.9	1.0	1.3
International income taxes net	(2.8)	(1.5)	(2.2)
Jobs Act repatriation			1.6
Foreign export sales benefit		(0.9)	(1.0)
U.S. business credits	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.4)
Reserves for tax contingencies/return to provision	0.4	(2.7)	
Gain on sale of pharmaceuticals business		0.4	
Restructuring actions	0.1	(0.3)	
In-process research and development write-off		0.6	
Medicare Modernization Act	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.3)
Domestic Manufacturer s deduction	(0.8)	(0.3)	(0.2)
All other net			(0.1)
<b>Effective worldwide tax rate</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>30.6%</b>	<b>33.7%</b>

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various states and foreign jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 1999. It is anticipated that its examination for the Company's U.S. income tax returns for the years 2002 through 2004 will be completed by the end of first quarter 2008. As of December 31, 2007, the IRS has proposed adjustments to the Company's tax positions for which the Company is fully reserved. Payments relating to any proposed assessments arising from the 2002 through 2004 audit may not be made until a final agreement is reached between the Company and the IRS on such assessments or upon a final resolution resulting from the administrative appeals process or judicial action. In addition to the U.S. federal examination, there is also limited audit activity in several U.S. state and foreign jurisdictions. Currently, the Company expects the liability for unrecognized tax benefits to change by an insignificant amount during the next 12 months.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of Interpretation 48, the Company recognized an immaterial increase in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits, which was accounted for as a reduction to the January 1, 2007, balance of retained earnings. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits ( UTB ) is as follows:

<u>(Millions)</u>	<u>Federal, State, and Foreign Tax</u>
Gross UTB Balance at January 1, 2007	\$ 691
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	79
Additions for tax positions of prior years	143
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(189)
Settlements	(24)
Reductions due to lapse of applicable statute of limitations	(20)
Gross UTB Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 680
Net UTB impacting the effective tax rate at December 31, 2007	\$ 334

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate as of January 1, 2007 and December 31, 2007, respectively, are \$261 million and \$334 million. The ending net UTB results from adjusting the gross balance at December 31, 2007 for items such as Federal, State, and non-U.S. deferred items, interest and penalties, and deductible taxes. The net UTB is included as components of Accrued Income Taxes and Other Liabilities within the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in tax expense. At January 1, 2007 and December 31, 2007, accrued interest and penalties on a gross basis were \$65 million and \$69 million, respectively. Included in these interest and penalty amounts is interest and penalties related to tax positions for which the ultimate deductibility is highly certain but for which there is uncertainty about the timing of such deductibility. Because of the impact of deferred tax accounting, other than interest and penalties, the disallowance of the shorter deductibility period would not affect the annual effective tax rate but would accelerate the payment of cash to the taxing authority to an earlier period.

In 2007, the Company completed the preparation and filing of its 2006 U.S. federal and state income tax returns, which did not result in any material changes to the Company's financial position. In 2006, an audit of the Company's U.S. tax returns for years through 2001 was completed. The Company and the Internal Revenue Service reached a final settlement for these years, including an agreement on the amount of a refund claim to be filed by the Company. The Company also substantially resolved audits in certain European countries. In addition, the Company completed the preparation and filing of its 2005 U.S. federal income tax return and the corresponding 2005 state income tax returns. The adjustments from amounts previously estimated in the U.S. federal and state income tax returns (both positive and negative) included lower U.S. taxes on dividends received from the Company's foreign subsidiaries. The Company also made quarterly adjustments (both positive and negative) to its reserves for tax contingencies. Considering the developments noted above and other factors, including the impact on open audit years of the recent resolution of issues in various audits, these reassessments resulted in a reduction of the reserves in 2006 by \$149 million, inclusive of the expected amount of certain refund claims.

In 2005, the Company announced its intent to reinvest \$1.7 billion of foreign earnings in the United States pursuant to the provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. This Act provided the Company the opportunity to tax-

efficiently repatriate foreign earnings for U.S. qualifying investments specified in its domestic reinvestment plan. As a consequence, in 2005, 3M recorded a charge of \$75 million.

The Company made discretionary contributions to its U.S. qualified pension plan of \$200 million in 2007, \$200 million in 2006, and \$500 million in 2005. The current income tax provision includes a benefit for the pension contributions; the deferred tax provision includes a cost for the related temporary difference.

As a result of certain employment commitments and capital investments made by 3M, income from manufacturing activities in certain countries is subject to reduced tax rates or, in some cases, is exempt from tax for years through 2014. The income tax benefits attributable to the tax status of these subsidiaries are estimated to be \$47 million (6 cents per diluted share) in 2007, \$20 million (3 cents per diluted share) in 2006, and \$23 million (3 cents per diluted share) in 2005.

The Company has not provided deferred taxes on unremitted earnings attributable to international companies that have been considered to be reinvested indefinitely. These earnings relate to ongoing operations and were approximately \$5.7 billion as of December 31, 2007. Because of the availability of U.S. foreign tax credits, it is not practicable to determine the income tax liability that would be payable if such earnings were not indefinitely reinvested.

#### **NOTE 9. Marketable Securities**

The Company invests in asset-backed securities, agency securities, corporate medium-term note securities, auction rate securities and other securities. The following is a summary of amounts recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet for marketable securities (current and non-current) at December 31, 2007.

(Millions)	Dec. 31, 2007
Agency securities	\$ 260
Asset-backed securities	186
Other securities	133
<b>Current marketable securities</b>	<b>579</b>
Asset-backed securities	267
Corporate medium-term notes securities	112
Agency securities	56
Auction rate securities	16
Other securities	29
<b>Non-current marketable securities</b>	<b>480</b>
<b>Total marketable securities</b>	<b>\$ 1,059</b>

Classification of marketable securities as current or non-current is dependent upon management's intended holding period, the security's maturity date and liquidity considerations based on market conditions. If management intends to hold the securities for longer than one year as of the balance sheet date, they are classified as non-current. The fair value of marketable securities approximates cost, except for certain auction rate securities discussed in the next paragraph. Gross unrealized gains and losses for marketable securities were not material as of December 31, 2007 and 2006; however, in 2007 the Company did have both realized and unrealized losses associated with auction rate securities as discussed below. Gross realized gains and losses on sales of marketable securities were not material for 2007, 2006 or 2005, but in 2007 pre-tax gains totaled approximately \$7 million. Cost of securities sold or reclassified use the first in, first out (FIFO) method. Since these marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale securities, changes in fair value will flow through other comprehensive income, with amounts reclassified out of other comprehensive income into earnings upon sale or other-than-temporary impairment (as discussed below).

3M has a diversified marketable securities portfolio of \$1.059 billion as of December 31, 2007. Within this portfolio, current and long-term asset-backed securities (estimated fair value of \$453 million) are primarily comprised of interests in automobile loans and credit cards, with only \$27 million invested in interests in mortgage-backed securities or home equity loans. 3M's marketable securities portfolio also includes auction rate securities (estimated fair value of \$16 million) that represent interests in collateralized debt obligations, which are collateralized by pools of residential and commercial mortgages, and interests in investment grade credit default swaps. During the second half of 2007, these auction rate securities failed to auction due to sell orders exceeding buy orders. Liquidity for these auction-rate securities is typically provided by an auction process that resets the applicable interest rate at pre-determined intervals,

usually every 7, 28, 35, or 90 days. The funds associated with failed auctions will not be accessible until a successful auction occurs or a buyer is found outside of the auction process. Based on broker-dealer valuation models and an analysis of other-than-temporary impairment factors, auction rate securities with an original par value of approximately \$34 million were written-down to an estimated fair value of \$16 million as of December 31, 2007. This write-down resulted in an other-than-temporary impairment charge of approximately \$8 million (pre-tax) included in net income and a temporary impairment charge of \$10 million (pre-tax) reflected as an unrealized loss within other comprehensive income for 2007. As of December 31, 2007, these investments in auction rate securities have been in a loss position for less than six months. These auction rate securities are classified as non-current marketable securities as of December 31, 2007 as indicated in the preceding table.

3M reviews impairments associated with the above in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 03-1 and FSP SFAS 115-1 and 124-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments, to determine the classification of the impairment as temporary or other-than-temporary. A temporary impairment charge results in an unrealized loss being recorded in the other comprehensive income component of stockholders' equity. Such an unrealized loss does not reduce net income for the applicable accounting period because the loss is not viewed as other-than-temporary. The company believes that a portion of the impairment of its auction rate securities investments is temporary and a portion is other-than-temporary. The factors evaluated to differentiate between temporary and other-than-temporary include the projected future cash flows, credit ratings actions, and assessment of the

credit quality of the underlying collateral.

The balance at December 31, 2007 for marketable securities and short-term investments by contractual maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to prepay obligations without prepayment penalties.

(Millions)	Dec. 31, 2007
Due in one year or less	\$ 231
Due after one year through three years	545
Due after three years through five years	221
Due after five years	62
<b>Total marketable securities</b>	<b>\$ 1,059</b>

62

#### NOTE 10. Long-Term Debt and Short-Term Borrowings

Long-term debt and short-term borrowings as of December 31 consisted of the following (with interest rates as of December 31, 2007):

Long-Term Debt (Millions) Description / Principal Amount	Currency/ Fixed vs. Floating*	Effective Interest Rate*	Maturity Date	2007	2006
Eurobond (625 million Euros)	Euro Fixed	4.98%	2014	919	
30-year bond (\$750 million)	USD Fixed	5.73%	2037	747	
Eurobond (400 million Euros)	Euro Floating	4.45%	2014	591	
Medium-term note (\$500 million)	USD Fixed	4.67%	2012	500	
Medium-term note (\$400 million)	USD Floating	4.84%	2009	408	400
Dealer remarketable securities (\$350 million)	USD Fixed	5.83%	2010	350	350
30-year debenture (\$330 million)	USD Fixed	5.75%	2028	350	354
Convertible notes (\$252 million)	USD Fixed	0.50%	2032	222	542
Floating rate note (\$100 million)	USD Floating	4.56%	2041	100	100
ESOP debt guarantee (\$87 million)	USD Fixed	5.62%	2008-2009	87	127
Floating rate note (\$62 million)	USD Floating	4.64%	2044	62	62
Other borrowings	Various	4.27%	2008-2040	223	226
<b>Total long-term debt</b>				<b>\$ 4,559</b>	<b>\$ 2,161</b>
Less: current portion of long-term debt				540	1,114
<b>Long-term debt (excluding current portion)</b>				<b>\$ 4,019</b>	<b>\$ 1,047</b>

Short-Term Borrowings and Current Portion of Long-Term Debt (Millions)	Effective Interest Rate*	2007	2006
Current portion of long-term debt	5.37%	\$ 540	\$ 1,114
Non-U.S. dollar commercial paper	4.60%	349	314
U.S. dollar commercial paper			1,035
Other borrowings	7.57%	12	43
<b>Total short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt</b>		<b>\$ 901</b>	<b>\$ 2,506</b>

#### Weighted-Average Effective Interest Rate\*

At December 31	Total		Excluding ESOP Debt	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Short-term	5.10%	4.65%	5.07%	4.63%
Long-term	4.48%	3.67%	4.47%	3.49%

\* Debt tables reflect the effects of interest rate swaps at December 31; weighted-average effective interest rate table reflects the combined effects of interest rate and currency swaps at December 31.

Maturities of long-term debt for the five years subsequent to December 31, 2007 are as follows (in millions):

2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Thereafter	Total
\$ 540	\$ 477	\$ 24	\$	\$ 500	\$ 3,018	\$ 4,559

Long-term debt payments due in 2008 include \$350 million of dealer remarketable securities (final maturity 2010) and \$62 million of floating rate notes (final maturity 2044). These securities are classified as current portion of long-term debt as the result of put provisions associated with these debt instruments.

The ESOP debt is serviced by dividends on stock held by the ESOP and by Company contributions. These contributions are not reported as interest expense, but are reported as an employee benefit expense in the Consolidated Statement of Income. Other borrowings includes debt held by 3M s international companies and floating

rate notes in the United States, with the long-term portion of this debt primarily composed of U.S. dollar floating rate debt.

At December 31, 2007, certain debt agreements (\$350 million of dealer remarketable securities and \$87 million of ESOP debt) had ratings triggers (BBB-/Baa3 or lower) that would require repayment of debt. The Company has an AA credit rating from Standard & Poor s, with a stable outlook, and an Aa1 credit rating from Moody s Investors Service, with a negative outlook. On April 30, 2007, the Company replaced its \$565 million credit facility with a new \$1.5 billion five-year credit facility, which has provisions for the Company to request an increase of the facility up to \$2 billion (at the lenders discretion), and providing for up to \$150 million in letters of credit. As of December 31, 2007, there are \$110 million in letters of credit drawn against the facility. Under the new credit agreement, 3M is required to maintain its EBITDA to Interest Ratio as of the end of each fiscal quarter at not less than 3.0 to 1. This is calculated (as defined in the agreement) as the ratio of consolidated total EBITDA for the four consecutive quarters then ended to total interest expense on all funded debt for the same period. At December 31, 2007, this ratio was approximately 35 to 1. At December 31, 2007, available short-term committed lines of credit internationally totaled approximately \$67 million, of which approximately \$13 million was utilized. Debt covenants do not restrict the payment of dividends.

The Company has a well-known seasoned issuer shelf registration statement, effective February 24, 2006, to register an indeterminate amount of debt or equity securities for future sales. On June 15, 2007, the Company registered 150,718 shares of the Company s common stock under this shelf on behalf of and for the sole benefit of the selling stockholders in connection with the Company s acquisition of assets of Diamond Productions, Inc. The Company intends to use the proceeds from future securities sales off this shelf for general corporate purposes. In connection with this shelf registration, in June 2007 the Company established a medium-term notes program through which up to \$3 billion of medium-term notes may be offered. In December 2007, 3M issued a five-year, \$500 million, fixed rate note with a coupon rate of 4.65% under this medium-term notes program. This program has a remaining capacity of \$2.5 billion as of December 31, 2007.

In September 2003, the Company filed a shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission relating to the potential offering of debt securities of up to \$1.5 billion. This shelf registration became effective in October 2003. In December 2003, the Company established under the shelf a medium-term notes program through which up to \$1.5 billion of medium-term notes may be offered. In March 2007, the Company issued a 30-year, \$750 million, fixed rate note with a coupon rate of 5.70%. In November 2006, 3M issued a three-year, \$400 million, fixed rate note. The Company entered into an interest rate swap to convert this to a rate based on a floating LIBOR index. In December 2004, 3M issued a 40-year, \$62 million, floating rate note, with the rate based on a floating LIBOR index. This \$1.5 billion medium term notes program was replaced by the \$3 billion program established in June 2007.

In July 2007, 3M issued a seven year 5.0% fixed rate Eurobond for an amount of 750 million Euros (approximately \$1.102 billion in U. S. Dollars at December 31, 2007). Upon debt issuance in July 2007, 3M completed a fixed-to-floating interest rate swap on a notional amount of 400 million Euros as a fair value hedge of a portion of the fixed interest rate Eurobond obligation. In December 2007, 3M reopened the existing seven year 5.0% fixed rate Eurobond for an additional amount of 275 million Euros (approximately \$404 million in U. S. Dollars at December 31, 2007). This security was issued at a premium and was subsequently consolidated with the original security on January 15, 2008.

3M may redeem its 30-year zero-coupon senior notes (the Convertible Notes ) at any time in whole or in part, beginning November 21, 2007, at the accreted conversion price; however, bondholders may convert upon notification of redemption each of the notes into 9.4602 shares of 3M common stock. Holders of the 30-year zero-coupon senior notes have the option to require 3M to purchase their notes at accreted value on November 21 in the years 2005, 2007, 2012, 2017, 2022 and 2027. In November 2005, 22,506 of the 639,000 in outstanding bonds were redeemed, resulting in a payout from 3M of approximately \$20 million. In November 2007, an additional 364,598 outstanding bonds were redeemed resulting in a payout from 3M of approximately \$322 million. These payouts reduced the Convertible

Notes face value at maturity to \$252 million, which equates to a book value of approximately \$222 million at December 31, 2007. As disclosed in a Form 8-K in November 2005, 3M amended the terms of these securities to pay cash at a rate of 2.40% per annum of the principal amount at maturity of the Company's Convertible Notes, which equates to 2.75% per annum of the notes' accreted value on November 21, 2005. The cash interest payments were made semiannually in arrears on May 22, 2006, November 22, 2006, May 22, 2007 and November 22, 2007 to holders of record on the 15th calendar day preceding each such interest payment date. Effective November 22, 2007, the effective interest rate reverted back to the original yield of 0.50%.

3M originally sold \$639 million in aggregate face amount of these Convertible Notes on November 15, 2002, which are convertible into shares of 3M common stock. The gross proceeds from the offering, to be used for general corporate purposes, were \$550 million (\$540 million net of issuance costs). Debt issuance costs were amortized on a straight-line basis over a three-year period beginning in November 2002. On February 14, 2003, 3M registered these Convertible Notes in a registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The terms of the

Convertible Notes include a yield to maturity of .50% and an initial conversion premium of 40% over the \$65.00 (split-adjusted) closing price of 3M common stock on November 14, 2002. If certain conditions for conversion (relating to the closing common stock prices of 3M exceeding the conversion trigger price for specified periods) are met, holders may convert each of the 30-year zero-coupon senior notes into 9.4602 shares of 3M common stock in any calendar quarter commencing after March 31, 2003. The conversion trigger price for the fourth quarter of 2007 was \$121.21 per share. If the conditions for conversion are met, and 3M elects not to settle in cash, the 30-year zero-coupon senior notes will be convertible in the aggregate into approximately 2.4 million shares of 3M common stock. The conditions for conversion related to the Company's Convertible Notes have never been met. If the conditions for conversion are met, 3M may choose to pay in cash and/or common stock; however, if this occurs, the Company has the intent and ability to settle this debt security in cash. Accordingly, there was no impact on 3M's diluted earnings per share.

In December 2007, the Company's \$350 million of dealer remarketable securities were remarketed for one year. They were reissued with a fixed coupon rate of 5.83%. These securities, which are classified as current portion of long-term debt, were issued in December 2000. The remarketable securities can be remarketed annually, at the option of the dealer, for a year each time, with a final maturity date of December 2010. In the second quarter of 2007, 3M repurchased \$42 million in floating rate notes due in 2037 at par as the bondholder exercised put provisions associated with this debt instrument.

#### **NOTE 11. Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans**

3M has various company-sponsored retirement plans covering substantially all U.S. employees and many employees outside the United States. Pension benefits associated with these plans generally are based on each participant's years of service, compensation, and age at retirement or termination. In addition to providing pension benefits, the Company provides certain postretirement health care and life insurance benefits for substantially all of its U.S. employees who reach retirement age while employed by the Company. Most international employees and retirees are covered by government health care programs. The cost of company-provided postretirement health care plans for international employees is not material and is combined with U.S. amounts.

The Company's pension funding policy is to deposit with independent trustees amounts allowable by law. Trust funds and deposits with insurance companies are maintained to provide pension benefits to plan participants and their beneficiaries. There are no plan assets in the non-qualified plan due to its nature. For its U.S. postretirement health care and life insurance benefit plans, the Company has set aside amounts at least equal to annual benefit payments with an independent trustee.

In August 2006, the Pension Protection Act (PPA) was signed into law in the U.S. The PPA increases the funding target for defined benefit pension plans to 100% of the target liability. The PPA transition rules require a funding liability target of 92% in 2008, reaching 100% by 2011. 3M's U.S. qualified defined benefit plans are funded in excess of the applicable transition funding liability target for 2008; therefore, the Company expects that the plans will not be subject to the minimum required contribution of the PPA and its transition rules will not have a material impact on expected future contributions.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R). This standard requires employers to recognize the underfunded or overfunded status of defined benefit pension and postretirement plans as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position, and recognize changes in the funded status in the year in which the changes occur through accumulated other comprehensive income, which is a component of stockholders' equity. This standard also eliminates the requirement for Additional Minimum Pension Liability (AML) required under SFAS No. 87. As a result of the application of SFAS No. 158 as of December 31, 2006, 3M reversed assets of \$2.515 billion and increased liabilities by \$703 million. These liabilities were offset to accumulated other comprehensive income

and deferred taxes. In 2006, as a result of the implementation of SFAS No. 158, the Company recognized an after-tax decrease in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$1.187 billion and \$513 million for the U.S. and International pension benefit plans, respectively, and \$218 million for the postretirement health care and life insurance benefit plan.

The following illustrates the adjustments to the Balance Sheet to record the initial adoption of the SFAS No. 158 funded status as of December 31, 2006:

(Millions)	With AML from 2005	AML adjustment	Pre-SFAS No. 158 with AML adjustments	SFAS No.158 adoption adjustments	Post SFAS No. 158
Prepaid Pension/(accrued pension liability)	\$ 2,111	\$ 15	\$ 2,126	\$ (3,199)	\$ (1,073)
Intangible asset	24	(5)	19	(19)	
Deferred tax asset	98	(3)	95	1,300	1,395
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	156	(7)	149	1,918	2,067
Accumulated other comprehensive income, pre-tax	254	(10)	244	3,218	3,462

Following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the benefit obligation and the fair value of plan assets as of December 31:

(Millions)	Qualified and Non-qualified Pension Benefits				Postretirement Benefits	
	United States		International		2007	2006
	2007	2006	2007	2006		
<b>Change in benefit obligation</b>						
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	<b>10,149</b>	\$ 10,052	\$ <b>4,450</b>	\$ 3,884	\$ <b>1,841</b>	\$ 1,918
Acquisitions			<b>3</b>	22		
Service cost	<b>192</b>	196	<b>125</b>	124	<b>57</b>	58
Interest cost	<b>568</b>	539	<b>228</b>	183	<b>104</b>	104
Participant contributions			<b>4</b>	4	<b>47</b>	41
Foreign exchange rate changes			<b>337</b>	365	<b>14</b>	
Plan amendments	<b>18</b>	2	<b>17</b>	(1)	<b>(98)</b>	(157)
Actuarial (gain) loss	<b>(154)</b>	(142)	<b>(114)</b>	26	<b>(16)</b>	35
Medicare Part D Reimbursement					<b>10</b>	10
Benefit payments	<b>(565)</b>	(530)	<b>(175)</b>	(146)	<b>(159)</b>	(168)
Settlements, curtailments, special termination benefits and other	<b>7</b>	32	<b>(19)</b>	(11)	<b>9</b>	
Benefit obligation at end of year	<b>\$ 10,215</b>	\$ 10,149	<b>\$ 4,856</b>	\$ 4,450	<b>\$ 1,809</b>	\$ 1,841
<b>Change in plan assets</b>						
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	<b>10,060</b>	9,285	\$ <b>3,970</b>	3,340	\$ <b>1,337</b>	1,239
Acquisitions			<b>1</b>	21		
Actual return on plan assets	<b>1,376</b>	1,072	<b>188</b>	325	<b>127</b>	188
Company contributions	<b>225</b>	233	<b>151</b>	115	<b>3</b>	37
Participant contributions			<b>4</b>	4	<b>47</b>	41
Foreign exchange rate changes			<b>300</b>	316		
Benefit payments	<b>(565)</b>	(530)	<b>(175)</b>	(146)	<b>(159)</b>	(168)
Settlements, curtailments, special termination benefits and other			<b>(15)</b>	(5)		
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	<b>\$ 11,096</b>	\$ 10,060	<b>\$ 4,424</b>	\$ 3,970	<b>\$ 1,355</b>	\$ 1,337
Funded status at end of year	<b>\$ 881</b>	\$ (89)	<b>\$ (432)</b>	\$ (480)	<b>\$ (454)</b>	\$ (504)

(Millions)	Qualified and Non-qualified Pension Benefits				Postretirement Benefits	
	United States		International		2007	2006
	2007	2006	2007	2006		
<b>Amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of Dec. 31,</b>						
Non-current assets	\$ 1,246	\$ 269	\$ 132	\$ 126	\$	\$
Accrued benefit cost						
Current liabilities	(27)	(26)	(6)	(5)	(2)	
Non-current liabilities	(338)	(332)	(558)	(601)	(452)	(504)
Ending balance	\$ 881	\$ (89)	\$ (432)	\$ (480)	\$ (454)	\$ (504)
<b>Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income as of Dec. 31,</b>						
Net transition obligation (asset)	\$	\$	\$ 1	\$ 3	\$	\$
Net actuarial loss (gain)	1,210	2,027	884	899	768	874
Prior service cost (credit)	68	64	(45)	(65)	(365)	(339)
Ending balance	\$ 1,278	\$ 2,091	\$ 840	\$ 837	\$ 403	\$ 535

The accumulated benefit obligation of the U.S. pension plans was \$9.643 billion and \$9.560 billion at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The accumulated benefit obligation of the international pension plans was \$4.421 billion and \$3.756 billion at December 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The U.S. nonqualified pension plan had a projected benefit obligation of \$360 million and \$354 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and has no plan assets due to the nature of the plan. The accumulated benefit obligation of the nonqualified pension plan is equal to the projected benefit obligation.

The following amounts relate to international pension plans with projected benefit obligations in excess of plan assets as of December 31:

(Millions)	2007	2006
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 4,346	\$ 3,680
Accumulated benefit obligation	3,989	3,049
Fair value of plan assets	3,782	3,073

The following amounts relate to international pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets as of December 31:

(Millions)	2007	2006
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 3,497	\$ 1,020
Accumulated benefit obligation	3,271	854
Fair value of plan assets	2,984	578

Components of net periodic benefit cost and other supplemental information for the years ended December 31 follow:

Components of net periodic benefit cost and other amounts recognized in other comprehensive income (Millions)	Qualified and Non-qualified Pension Benefits						Postretirement Benefits		
	United States			International			2007	2006	2005
	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005			
<b>Net periodic benefit cost</b>									
Service cost	\$ 192	\$ 196	\$ 177	\$ 125	\$ 124	\$ 102	\$ 57	\$ 58	\$ 53
Interest cost	568	539	502	228	183	177	104	104	101
Expected return on plan assets	(840)	(764)	(665)	(290)	(245)	(217)	(107)	(103)	(93)
Amortization of transition (asset) obligation				3	3	4			
Amortization of prior service cost (benefit)	14	13	13	(2)	(3)	(3)	(72)	(50)	(39)
Amortization of net actuarial (gain) loss	126	202	179	55	63	58	74	84	84
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 60	\$ 186	\$ 206	\$ 119	\$ 125	\$ 121	\$ 56	\$ 93	\$ 106

Settlements, curtailments, special termination benefits and other	<u>7</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>(2)</u>	<u>9</u>		
Net periodic benefit cost after settlements, curtailments, special termination benefits and other	<u>\$ 67</u>	<u>\$ 218</u>	<u>\$ 212</u>	<u>\$ 123</u>	<u>\$ 129</u>	<u>\$ 119</u>	<u>\$ 65</u>	<u>\$ 93</u>	<u>\$ 106</u>

The estimated amortization from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost in 2008 follows:

Amounts expected to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit costs over next fiscal year (Millions)	Qualified and Non-qualified Pension Benefits		Postretirement Benefits
	United States	International	
	Amortization of transition (asset) obligation	\$ 3	\$ 3
Amortization of prior service cost (benefit)	15	(2)	(85)
Amortization of net actuarial (gain) loss	58	40	59
	<u>\$ 73</u>	<u>\$ 41</u>	<u>\$ (26)</u>

Other supplemental information for the years ended December 31 follows:

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations	Qualified and Non-qualified Pension Benefits						Postretirement Benefits		
	United States			International					
	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005
Discount rate	<u>6.00%</u>	5.75%	5.50%	<u>5.39%</u>	4.88%	4.50%	<u>6.00%</u>	5.75%	5.50%
Compensation rate increase	<u>4.30%</u>	4.30%	4.30%	<u>3.82%</u>	3.67%	3.52%	<u>N/A</u>	N/A	N/A

  

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net cost for years ended	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005
	Discount rate	<u>5.75%</u>	5.50%	5.75%	<u>4.88%</u>	4.50%	4.88%	<u>5.75%</u>	5.50%
Expected return on assets	<u>8.75%</u>	8.75%	8.75%	<u>7.19%</u>	7.20%	7.08%	<u>8.60%</u>	8.60%	8.60%
Compensation rate increase	<u>4.30%</u>	4.30%	4.30%	<u>3.67%</u>	3.52%	3.55%	<u>N/A</u>	N/A	N/A

As of December 31, 2005, the Company converted to the RP (Retirement Plans) 2000 Mortality Table for calculating the year-end 2005 U.S. pension and postretirement obligations and 2006 expense. The impact of this change increased the year-end 2005 U.S. Projected Benefit Obligations for pension by \$385 million, the year-end 2005 U.S. Accumulated Benefit Obligations for pension by \$349 million and the 2005 U.S. Accumulated Postretirement Benefit Obligation by \$93 million. This change also increased pension expenses for 2006 by \$64 million and postretirement expenses by \$17 million.

The Company reviews external data and its own historical trends for health care costs to determine the health care trend rates for the postretirement medical plans. As of December 31, 2006, the Company modified its health care trend rates assumption by raising the rate and separating the trend rates used for plan participants less than 65 years of age and plan participants 65 years of age or older. The separation of the trend rates reflects the higher costs associated with prescription drugs in the 65 or older age group. The assumed health care trend rates as of December 31 are as follows:

Assumed health care trend rates	2007		2006	
	Pre-65	Post-65	Pre-65	Post-65
Health care cost trend rate used to determine benefit obligations	<u>8.50%</u>	<u>9.75%</u>	9.00%	10.25%
Rate that the cost trend rate is assumed to decline to (ultimate trend rate)	<u>5.00%</u>	<u>5.00%</u>	5.00%	5.00%
Years to Ultimate Trend Rate	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	9	9

The assumed health care trend rates shown above reflect 3M's expected medical and drug claims experience. The Company has developed certain long-term strategies to help offset trend rates through care management, strategic sourcing activities and plan design. A

one percentage point change in assumed health cost trend rates would have the following effects:

Health Care Cost (Millions)	One Percentage Point Increase	One Percentage Point Decrease
Effect on total of service and interest cost	\$ 23	\$ (19)
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	195	(165)

3M's investment strategy for its pension and postretirement plans is to manage the plans on a going-concern basis. The primary goal of the funds is to meet the obligations as required. The secondary goal is to earn the highest rate of return possible, without jeopardizing its primary goal, and without subjecting the Company to an undue amount of contribution rate volatility. Fund returns are used to help finance present and future obligations to the extent possible within actuarially determined funding limits and tax-determined asset limits, thus reducing the level of contributions 3M must make.

3M does not buy or sell any of its own stock as a direct investment for its pension and other postretirement benefit funds. However, due to external investment management of the funds, the plans may indirectly buy, sell or hold 3M stock. The aggregate amount of the shares would not be considered to be material relative to the aggregate fund percentages.

For the U.S. pension plan, the Company's assumption for the expected return on plan assets was 8.75% in 2007. The Company is lowering the 2008 expected return on plan assets for its U.S. pension plan by 0.25 percentage points to 8.50%. This will reduce 2008 expected pension income by approximately \$26 million. Projected returns are based primarily on broad, publicly traded equity and fixed-income indices and forward-looking estimates of active portfolio and investment management. As of December 31, 2007, the Company's 2008 expected long-term rate of return on U.S. plan assets is based on an asset allocation assumption of 46% global equities, with an expected long-term rate of return of 7.75%; 13% private equities with an expected long-term rate of return of 12.75%; 24% fixed-income securities with an expected long-term rate of return of 5.0%; 12% absolute return investments independent of traditional performance benchmarks, with an expected long-term return of 7%; 5% commodities with an expected long-term rate of return of 6.5%. The company expects additional positive return from active investment management. These assumptions result in an 8.50% expected rate of return on an annualized basis. The plan assets earned a rate of return in excess of 14%, 12% and 10% in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The average annual actual return on the plan assets over the past 10 and 25 years has been 9.1% and 12.2%, respectively.

During 2006, certain absolute return and commodity investments were included in equity and fixed income allocations. The 2006 presentation in the table that follows has been reclassified to conform to the 2007 presentation.

69

The U.S. plan's asset allocation by asset category as of plan measurement dates follows:

Asset Category	Target Allocation	Percentage of Plan Assets	
		2007	2006
<b>U.S. qualified pension plan</b>			
Global equity	46%	45%	57%
Fixed income	24	23	19
Private equity	13	16	12
Absolute return	12	11	9
Commodities	5	4	2
Cash		1	1
Total	100%	100%	100%
<b>Postretirement benefits</b>			
Global equity	69%	75%	79%
Fixed income	10	9	10
Private equity	18	13	10
Absolute return	2	2	
Commodities	1	1	
Cash			1
Total	100%	100%	100%

While the target asset allocations do not have a percentage allocated to cash, the plans will always have some cash due to cash flows. The postretirement allocation shown above represents a weighted-average allocation for U.S. plans.

The international plans' weighted-average asset allocation as of plan measurement dates follows:

Asset Category	Percentage of Plan Assets	
	2007	2006
International pension plans		
Global equity	46%	35%
Domestic equity	8	11
Foreign equity	4	11
Real estate	3	3
Domestic fixed income	19	19
Foreign fixed income	11	5
Insurance	9	15
Cash		1
Total	100%	100%

The preceding asset allocations for international plans represent the top six countries by projected benefit obligation. These countries represent approximately 90% of the total international plan assets. The other countries' asset allocations would not have a significant impact on the information presented.

In the third quarter of 2007, the Company made discretionary contributions totaling \$200 million to its U.S. qualified pension plan. In 2008, the Company expects to contribute an amount in the range of \$100 million to \$400 million to its U.S. and international pension plans. The Company does not have a required minimum pension contribution obligation for its U.S. plans in 2008. Therefore, the amount of the anticipated discretionary contribution could vary significantly depending on the U.S. plans' funding status as of the 2008 measurement date and the anticipated tax deductibility of the contribution.

The following estimated benefit payments are payable from the plans to participants:

(Millions)	Qualified and Non-qualified Pension Benefits		Postretirement Benefits	Medicare Subsidy Receipts
	United States	International		
2008 Benefit Payments	\$ 574	\$ 188	\$ 117	\$ 13
2009 Benefit Payments	588	192	124	15
2010 Benefit Payments	607	197	130	17
2011 Benefit Payments	628	209	138	18
2012 Benefit Payments	665	222	143	20
Following five years	3,626	1,259	799	128

#### NOTE 12. Derivatives and Other Financial Instruments

The Company uses interest rate swaps, currency swaps, and forward and option contracts to manage risks generally associated with foreign exchange rate, interest rate and commodity price fluctuations. The information that follows explains the various types of derivatives and financial instruments, and includes a table that recaps cash flow hedging amounts.

*Cash Flow Hedging Foreign Currency Forward and Option Contracts:* The Company enters into foreign exchange forward contracts, options and swaps to hedge against the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash flows denominated in foreign currencies and certain intercompany financing transactions. These transactions are designated as cash flow hedges. At December 31, 2007, the Company had various open foreign exchange forward and option contracts, the majority of which had maturities of one year or less. The settlement or extension of these derivatives will result in reclassifications to earnings in the period during which the hedged transactions affect earnings (from other comprehensive income). The maximum length of time over which 3M is hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows for a majority of the forecasted transactions is 12 months. Hedge ineffectiveness was not material for the years 2007, 2006 and 2005.

*Cash Flow Hedging Commodity Price Management:* The Company manages commodity price risks through negotiated supply contracts, price protection agreements and forward physical contracts. The Company uses commodity price swaps as cash flow hedges of forecasted transactions to manage price volatility. The related mark-to-market gain or loss on qualifying hedges is included in other comprehensive income to the extent effective, and reclassified into cost of sales in the period during which the hedged transaction

affects earnings. 3M has hedged its exposure to the variability of future cash flows for certain forecasted transactions through 2008. No significant commodity cash flow hedges were discontinued and hedge ineffectiveness was not material during the years 2007, 2006 and 2005.

*Cash Flow Hedging Forecasted Debt Issuance:* In June 2007, the Company executed a pre-issuance cash flow hedge by entering into a floating-to-fixed interest rate swap on a notional amount of 350 million Euros related to the anticipated July 2007 Eurobond issuance of 750 million Euros. Upon debt issuance in July 2007, 3M terminated the floating-to-fixed swap. The termination of the swap resulted in an immaterial gain, which is being amortized over the seven year life of the Eurobond.

Amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to cash flow hedging instruments follow.

Cash Flow Hedging Instruments Net of Tax (Millions)	Twelve months ended December 31		
	2007	2006	2005
Beginning balance	\$ (18)	\$ 38	\$ (42)
Changes in fair value of derivatives	(17)	(53)	70
Reclassifications to earnings from equity	7	(3)	10
Total activity	(10)	(56)	80
Ending balance	\$ (28)	\$ (18)	\$ 38

At December 31, 2007, the Company expects to reclassify to earnings over the next 12 months a majority of the cash flow hedging instruments after-tax loss of \$28 million (with the impact offset by cash flows from underlying hedged items).

*Fair Value Hedging Interest Rate Swaps:* The Company manages interest expense using a mix of fixed and floating rate debt. To help manage borrowing costs, the Company may enter into interest rate swaps. Under these arrangements, the Company agrees to exchange, at specified intervals, the difference between fixed and floating interest amounts calculated by reference to an agreed-upon notional principal amount.

At December 31, 2007, the Company had interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges of underlying fixed rate obligations. In June 2006, the Company entered into a \$330 million fixed-to-floating interest rate swap to hedge the 30-year bond due in 2028. The Company terminated the swap in March 2007 and the resulting gain will be recognized over the remaining life of the underlying debt. Accordingly, the termination of the swap did not have a material impact on 3M's consolidated results of operations or financial condition. As indicated in Note 10, in November 2006, the Company entered into a \$400 million fixed-to-floating interest rate swap concurrent with the issuance of the three-year medium-term note due in 2009. Also as indicated in Note 10, in July 2007, in connection with the issuance of a seven-year Eurobond for an amount of 750 million Euros, the Company completed a fixed-to-floating interest rate swap on a notional amount of 400 million Euros as a fair value hedge of a portion of the fixed interest rate Eurobond obligation. The mark-to-market of these fair value hedges is recorded as gains or losses in interest expense and is offset by the gain or loss on the underlying debt instrument, which also is recorded in interest expense. The fair value of these interest rate swaps were \$36 million and \$26 million as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. These fair value hedges are 100% effective and, thus, there is no impact on earnings due to hedge ineffectiveness.

*Net Investment Hedging:* As circumstances warrant, the Company uses cross currency swaps, forwards and foreign currency denominated debt to hedge portions of the Company's net investments in foreign operations. For hedges that meet the effectiveness requirements, the net gains or losses attributable to changes in spot exchange rates are recorded in cumulative translation within other comprehensive income. The remainder of the change in value of such instruments is recorded in earnings.

In September 2006, the Company entered into a three-year floating-to-floating cross currency swap with a notional amount of \$300 million. This transaction is a partial hedge of the Company's net investment in its Japanese subsidiaries. This swap converts U.S. dollar-based variable interest payments to yen-based variable interest payments associated with the notional amount.

In November 2006, the Company entered into a three-year floating-to-floating cross currency swap with a notional amount of \$200 million. This transaction is a partial hedge of the Company's net investment in its European subsidiaries. This swap converts U.S. dollar-based variable interest payments to Euro-based variable interest payments associated with the notional amount.

In December 2006, the Company entered into foreign currency forward contracts with a notional amount of \$556 million relative to the Company's net investment in its European subsidiaries and with a notional amount of \$209 million relative to the Company's net investment in its Japanese subsidiaries. These forwards matured in December 2007.

In July and December 2007, as discussed in Note 10, the Company issued seven-year fixed rate Eurobond securities for amounts of 750 million Euros and 275 million Euros, respectively. 3M designated each of these Eurobond issuances as hedging instruments of the Company's net investment in its European subsidiaries.

In November and December 2007, the Company entered into foreign currency forward contracts with a notional amount of \$200 million that were designated as a partial hedge of the Company's net investment in its Chinese subsidiaries. These forwards mature in December 2008.

The unrealized loss recorded in cumulative translation related to net investment hedging at December 31, 2007 was \$28 million and the unrealized loss at December 31, 2006 was \$18 million.

*Currency Effects:* 3M estimates that year-on-year currency effects, including hedging impacts, increased net income by approximately \$150 million in 2007, \$20 million in 2006, and \$115 million in 2005. This estimate includes the effect of translating profits from local currencies into U.S. dollars; the impact of currency fluctuations on the transfer of goods between 3M operations in the United States and abroad; and transaction gains and losses, including derivative instruments designed to reduce foreign currency exchange rate risks. 3M estimates that year-on-year derivative and other transaction gains and losses increased net income by approximately \$10 million in 2007, had an immaterial impact on net income in 2006, and increased net income by approximately \$50 million in 2005.

*Credit risk:* The Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by counterparties in interest rate swaps, currency swaps, and option and foreign exchange contracts. However, the Company's risk is limited to the fair value of the instruments. The Company actively monitors its exposure to credit risk through the use of credit approvals and credit limits, and by selecting major international banks and financial institutions as counterparties. The Company does not anticipate nonperformance by any of these counterparties. During the second quarter of 2006, the Company entered into a credit support agreement with one of its primary derivatives counterparties. Under this agreement either party is required to post eligible collateral when the market value of transactions covered by the agreement exceeds specified thresholds, thus limiting credit exposure for both parties.

*Fair value of financial instruments:* At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company's financial instruments included cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, accounts receivable, investments, accounts payable, borrowings, and derivative contracts. The fair values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt (except the \$350 million dealer remarketable security) approximated carrying values because of the short-term nature of these instruments. Available-for-sale marketable securities, investments and derivative contracts are reported at fair values. Fair values for investments held at cost are not readily available, but are estimated to approximate fair value. The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of other financial instruments based on third-party quotes as of December 31 follow:

**Financial Instruments Carrying Amounts and Estimated Fair Values**

(Millions)	2007		2006	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Dealer remarketable securities	\$ 350	\$ 368	\$ 350	\$ 358
Convertible note (long-term in 2007 and short-term in 2006)	222	212	542	568
Long-term debt	3,797	3,796	1,047	1,054

**NOTE 13. Commitments and Contingencies**

*Capital and Operating Leases:*

Rental expense under operating leases was \$226 million in 2007, \$211 million in 2006, and \$195 million in 2005. It is 3M's practice to secure renewal rights for leases, thereby giving 3M the right, but not the obligation, to maintain a presence in a leased facility. 3M's primary capital lease, which became effective in April 2003, involves a building in the United Kingdom (with a lease term of 22 years). During the second quarter of 2003, 3M recorded a capital lease asset and obligation of approximately 33.5 million United Kingdom pounds (approximately \$67 million at December 31, 2007 exchange rates). Minimum lease payments under capital and operating leases with non-cancelable terms in excess of one year as of December 31, 2007, were as follows:

**Capital                      Operating**

(Millions)	Leases	Leases
2008	\$ 7	\$ 98
2009	6	79
2010	6	58
2011	6	35
2012	5	30
After 2012	54	141
Total	84	\$ 441
Less: Amounts representing interest	13	
Present value of future minimum lease payments	71	
Less: Current obligations under capital leases	2	
Long-term obligations under capital leases	\$ 69	

*Warranties/Guarantees:*

3M's accrued product warranty liabilities, recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as part of current and long-term liabilities, are estimated at approximately \$21 million as of December 31, 2007. 3M does not consider this amount to be material. The fair value of 3M guarantees of loans with third parties and other guarantee arrangements are not material.

*Related Party Activity:*

Purchases from related parties (largely related to companies in which 3M has an equity interest) totaled approximately \$144 million in 2007 (\$160 million in 2006 and \$141 million in 2005). Receivables due from related parties (largely related to receivables from employees for relocation and other ordinary business expense advances) totaled approximately \$40 million in 2007 (\$36 million in 2006, and \$37 million in 2005). 3M sales to related parties totaled approximately \$6 million in 2007 (\$4 million in 2006, and \$5 million in 2005). Indebtedness to 3M from related parties was not material in 2007, 2006 and 2005.

*Legal Proceedings:*

The Company and some of its subsidiaries are involved in numerous claims and lawsuits, principally in the United States, and regulatory proceedings worldwide. These include various products liability (involving products that the Company now or formerly manufactured and sold), intellectual property, and commercial claims and lawsuits, including those brought under the antitrust laws, and environmental proceedings. The following sections first describe the significant legal proceedings in which the Company is involved, and then describe the liabilities and associated insurance receivables the Company has accrued relating to its significant legal proceedings. Unless otherwise stated, the Company is vigorously defending all such litigation.

Shareholder Derivative Litigation

As previously reported, in July 2007, a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware against the Company as nominal defendant and against each then current member of the Board of Directors and the officers named in the Summary Compensation Table of the 2007 Proxy Statement. The suit alleges that the Company's 2007 Proxy Statement contained false and misleading statements concerning the tax deductibility of compensation payable under the Executive Annual Incentive Plan ( Plan ) and the standards for determining the amounts payable under the Plan. The lawsuit seeks a declaration voiding shareholder approval of the Plan, termination of the Plan, voiding the elections of directors, equitable accounting, and awarding costs, including attorneys' fees. Plaintiff filed a motion for summary judgment, and the defendants filed a motion to dismiss all claims on the grounds that plaintiff had failed to make a demand on the Board and had otherwise failed to state a proper claim under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. The defendants also moved to transfer the case from the District of Delaware to the District of Minnesota. In February 2008, the Court denied without prejudice the plaintiff's motion for summary judgment.

Breast Implant Litigation

The Company and certain other companies were named as defendants in past years in numerous claims and lawsuits alleging damages for personal injuries of various types resulting from breast implants formerly manufactured by the Company or a related company. The vast majority of claims against the Company have been resolved. The Company does not consider its remaining probable liability to be material. Information concerning the associated insurance receivable and legal proceedings related to it follows in the paragraph entitled *Breast Implant Insurance Receivables*.

Respirator Mask/Asbestos Litigation

For more than 25 years the Company has defended and resolved the claims of hundreds of thousands of individual claimants alleging injuries from occupational dust exposures. As of December 31, 2007, the Company is a named defendant, with multiple co-defendants, in numerous lawsuits in various courts that purport to represent approximately 8,750 individual claimants, a decrease from the approximately 17,700 individual claimants with actions pending at December 31, 2006.

The vast majority of the lawsuits and claims resolved by and currently pending against the Company allege use of some of the Company's mask and respirator products and seek damages from the Company and other defendants for alleged personal injury from workplace exposures to asbestos, silica, coal or other occupational dusts found in products manufactured by other defendants or generally in the workplace. A minority of claimants generally allege personal injury from occupational exposure to asbestos from products previously manufactured by the Company, which are often unspecified, as well as products manufactured by other defendants, or occasionally at Company premises.

In many of these lawsuits and claims, the Company is named as a defendant with multiple co-defendants where no product the Company manufactured is identified or where the Company is ultimately determined not to have manufactured the products identified by the plaintiffs. The Company's vigorous defense of this litigation has resulted in dismissals of many claims without any payment by the Company, and jury verdicts for the Company in seven of the eight cases tried to verdict (such trials occurred in 1999, 2000, 2003, 2004 and 2007), and an appellate reversal in 2005 of the one jury verdict adverse to the Company.

As previously reported, the Company won a defense verdict in July 2007 from a jury in the federal court in Eastern District of Missouri. The jury found the Company had no liability whatever to a plaintiff who claimed he had silicosis and a related cancer and sought to recover damages from the Company arising from his alleged illness, which he claimed to have contracted from occupational exposure to silica despite his purported use of the Company's respirator mask equipment at various times. The jury rejected each of the plaintiff's theories of liability against the Company.

Many of the resolved lawsuits and claims involved unimpaired claimants who were recruited by plaintiffs' lawyers through mass chest x-ray screenings. The Company experienced a significant decline in the number of claims filed in 2007 from prior years by apparently unimpaired claimants. The Company attributes this decline to several factors, including certain changes enacted in several states in recent years of the law governing asbestos-related claims, and the highly-publicized decision in mid-2005 of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas that identified and criticized abuses by certain attorneys, doctors and x-ray screening companies on behalf of claimants. The Company expects the filing of claims by unimpaired claimants in the future to continue at much lower levels than in the past. The Company believes that due to this change in the type and volume of incoming claims, it is likely that the number of claims alleging more serious injuries, including mesothelioma and other malignancies, while remaining relatively constant, will represent a greater percentage of total claims than in the past. The Company has demonstrated in past trial proceedings that its respiratory protection products are effective as claimed when used in the intended manner and in the intended circumstances. Consequently the Company believes that claimants are unable to establish that their medical conditions, even if significant, are attributable to the Company's respiratory protection products. Nonetheless the Company's litigation experience indicates that such claims are costlier to resolve than the claims of unimpaired persons, and it therefore anticipates an increase in the average cost of resolving pending and future claims on a per-claim basis than it experienced in prior periods when the vast majority of claims were asserted by the unimpaired.

Plaintiffs have asserted specific dollar claims for damages in approximately 66% of the 3,979 lawsuits that were pending against the Company at the end of 2007 in all jurisdictions. A majority of states restrict or prohibit specifying damages in tort cases such as these, and most of the remaining jurisdictions do not require such specification. In those cases in which plaintiffs choose to assert specific dollar amounts in their complaints, brought in states that permit such pleading, the amounts claimed are typically not meaningful as an indicator of the Company's potential liability. This is because (a) the amounts claimed typically bear no relation to the extent of the plaintiff's injury, if any; (b) the complaints nearly always assert claims against multiple defendants with the typical complaint asserting claims against as few as a dozen different defendants to upwards of 275 different defendants, the damages alleged are not attributed to individual defendants, and a defendant's share of liability may turn on the law of joint and several liability, which can vary by state, and by the amount of fault a jury allocates to each defendant if a case is ultimately tried before a jury; (c) many cases are filed against the Company even though the plaintiffs did not use any of the Company's products and, ultimately, are withdrawn or dismissed without any payment; and (d) many cases are brought on behalf of plaintiffs who have not suffered any medical injury, and, ultimately, are resolved without any payment or a payment that is a small fraction of the damages initially claimed. Of the 2,629 pending cases in which purported damage amounts are specified in the complaints, 860 cases involve claims of \$100,000 or less, (one (1) of them also alleges punitive damages of \$15,000, nine (9) of them also allege punitive damages of \$30,000, and three (3) of them also allege punitive damages of \$1,000,000); 186 cases involve claims between \$100,000 and \$3 million (thirty-three (33) of them also allege punitive damages of \$250,000, one (1) of them also alleges punitive damages of \$1 million, forty-three (43) of them also allege

punitive damages of \$1.5 million, and 106 of them also allege punitive damages of \$2 million); two (2) cases involve claims of \$3 million to \$7.5 million (one (1) also alleges punitive damages of \$350,000 and one (1) of them also alleges punitive damages of \$5 million); 21 cases involve claims of \$7.5 million; four (4) cases involve claims of \$7.5 million to \$10 million (four (4) of them also allege damages of \$21 million); 1,540 cases involve claims of \$10 million (two (2) of them also allege punitive damages of \$350,000, 1,531 of them also allege punitive damages of \$10 million, and one (1) of them also alleges punitive damages of \$15 million); 13 cases involve claims of \$10 million to \$50 million (one (1) of them also allege punitive damages of \$15 million, five (5) of them also allege punitive damages of \$15.5 million, and three (3) of them also allege punitive damages of \$20 million); and three (3) cases involve claims of \$50 million (two (2) of them also alleges punitive damages of \$50 million). Some complaints allege that the compensatory and punitive damages are at least the amounts specified. As stated, the Company's experience and the other reasons cited indicate that the damage amounts specified in complaints are not a meaningful factor in any assessment of the Company's potential liability.

As previously reported, the State of West Virginia, through its Attorney General, filed a complaint in 2003 against the Company and two other manufacturers of respiratory protection products in the Circuit Court of Lincoln County, West Virginia and amended it in 2005. The amended complaint seeks substantial, but unspecified, compensatory damages primarily for reimbursement of the costs allegedly incurred by the State for worker's compensation and healthcare benefits provided to all workers with occupational pneumoconiosis and unspecified punitive damages.

#### Employment Litigation

As previously reported, one current and one former employee of the Company filed a purported class action in the District Court of Ramsey County, Minnesota, in December 2004, seeking to represent a class of all current and certain former salaried employees employed by 3M in Minnesota below a certain salary grade who were age 46 or older at any time during the applicable period to be determined by the Court. The complaint alleges the plaintiffs suffered various forms of employment discrimination on the basis of age in violation of the Minnesota Human Rights Act and seeks injunctive relief, unspecified compensatory damages (which they seek to treble under the statute), including back and front pay, punitive damages (limited by statute to \$8,500 per claimant) and attorneys' fees. In January 2006, the plaintiffs filed a motion to join four additional named plaintiffs. This motion was unopposed by the Company and the four plaintiffs were joined in the

case, although one claim has been dismissed following an individual settlement. The class certification hearing was held in December 2007. The Company expects a ruling on the class certification in the first half of 2008.

A similar age discrimination purported class action was filed against the Company in November 2005 in the Superior Court of Essex County, New Jersey, on behalf of a class of New Jersey-based employees of the Company. The Company removed this case to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. On June 29, 2007, the attorneys for the plaintiff amended their complaint and dropped the class action allegations.

In addition, three former employees filed age discrimination charges against the Company with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the pertinent state agencies in Minnesota and California during 2005; two of these charges were amended in 2006. Such filings include allegations that the release of claims signed by certain former employees in the purported class defined in the charges is invalid for various reasons and assert age discrimination claims on behalf of certain current and former salaried employees in states other than Minnesota and New Jersey. In 2006, one current employee filed an age discrimination charge against the Company with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the pertinent state agency in Missouri, asserting claims on behalf of a class of all current and certain former salaried employees who worked in Missouri and other states other than Minnesota and New Jersey. The same law firm represents the plaintiffs and claimants in each of these proceedings.

#### Environmental Matters and Litigation

The Company's operations are subject to environmental laws and regulations including those pertaining to air emissions, wastewater discharges, toxic substances, and the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes enforceable by national, state, and local authorities around the world, and private parties in the United States and abroad. These laws and regulations provide, under certain circumstances, a basis for the remediation of contamination and for personal injury and property damage claims. The Company has incurred, and will continue to incur, costs and capital expenditures in complying with these laws and regulations, defending personal injury and property damage claims, and modifying its business operations in light of its environmental responsibilities. In its effort to satisfy its environmental responsibilities and comply with environmental laws and regulations, the Company has established, and periodically updates, policies relating to environmental standards of performance for its operations worldwide.

**Remediation:** Under certain environmental laws, including the United States Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation

and Liability Act of 1980 and similar state laws, the Company may be jointly and severally liable, typically with other companies, for the costs of environmental contamination at current or former facilities and at off-site locations. The Company has identified numerous locations, most of which are in the United States, at which it may have some liability. Please refer to the following section, *Accrued Liabilities and Insurance Receivables Related to Legal Proceedings* for more information on this subject.

*Regulatory Activities:* As previously reported, the Company has been voluntarily cooperating with ongoing reviews by local, state, national (primarily the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)), and international agencies of possible environmental and health effects of perfluorooctanyl compounds (perfluorooctanoic acid or PFOA and perfluorooctane sulfonate or PFOS ) and related compounds. As a result of its phase-out decision in May 2000, the Company no longer manufactures perfluorooctanyl compounds, except that a subsidiary recovers and recycles PFOA in Gendorf, Germany, for internal use in production processes and has agreed to a product stewardship initiative with the EPA to work toward elimination of its use of PFOA by 2015.

Regulatory activities concerning PFOA and/or PFOS continue in Europe and elsewhere, and before certain international bodies. These activities include gathering of exposure and use information, risk assessment, and consideration of regulatory approaches.

As previously reported, the Company, in cooperation with state agencies, tested soil and groundwater beneath three former waste disposal sites in Washington County, Minnesota, used many years ago by the Company to dispose lawfully of waste containing perfluorinated compounds. In addition, subsequent testing of water from certain municipal wells in Oakdale, Minnesota and some private wells in Lake Elmo, Minnesota, indicated the presence of low levels of PFOS and PFOA that, in some cases, were slightly above guidelines established by the Minnesota Department of Health ( MDH ). As previously reported, the Company addressed the presence of these compounds in the water by treating certain municipal wells in Oakdale and by providing a grant to the City of Lake Elmo to extend city water to certain residents with these compounds in their private wells. In March 2007 the MDH lowered the Health-Based Values (HBVs) (i.e., the amount of a chemical in drinking water considered by the MDH staff to be safe for people to drink for a lifetime) for PFOA from 7 parts per billion (ppb) to 0.5 ppb and for PFOS from 1 ppb to 0.3 ppb. In August 2007 the MDH established these same levels as Health Risk Limits ( HRL ) (i.e., the amount of a chemical in drinking water determined by the MDH to be safe for people to drink for a lifetime) through an expedited rule-making process. In a final report issued on January 15, 2008, the MDH proposed a draft value to lower the HRL for PFOA from 0.5 ppb to 0.3 ppb in anticipation of HRL rule-making in 2008.

As previously reported, the MDH has also detected low levels of a perfluorinated compound called perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA) in municipal wells (and in private wells as announced by the MDH in June 2007) in six nearby communities (Woodbury, Cottage Grove, Newport, St. Paul Park, South St. Paul, and Hastings, all communities located southeast of

---

St. Paul), some of which slightly exceed the MDH s well guidance for PFBA, currently at 1 ppb. The Company is working with the MDH and the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) in assessing the source of PFBA in these wells and is supplying data that could be used in determining an appropriate drinking water guideline level. The MDH has not issued an HBV for PFBA, but the Company expects the MDH to issue further guidance in the first quarter of 2008. The Company has advised the affected communities that it will assist them in assuring their drinking water falls below the HBV for PFBA when such value is finally determined.

On May 22, 2007, the MPCA Citizen s Board approved the Settlement Agreement and Consent Order to address the presence of perfluorinated compounds in the soil and groundwater at former disposal sites in Washington County Minnesota and at the Company s manufacturing facility at Cottage Grove Minnesota. Under this agreement, the Company agreed to (i) evaluate releases of perfluorinated compounds from these sites and propose response actions; (ii) provide alternative drinking water if and when an HBV or HRL is exceeded for any perfluorinated compounds as a result of contamination from these sites; (iii) share information with the MPCA about perfluorinated compounds; (iv) reimburse the MPCA future costs of research that are connected to releases from the Company s operations in Minnesota (the Company agreed to reimburse the MPCA for past research costs and provided a grant up to \$5 million over the next four years for the purpose of investigating and assessing the presence and effects of perfluorinated compounds in the environment and biota); and (v) pay the MPCA up to \$8 million towards the implementation of remedial actions at the Washington County Landfill. The Company is working with the MPCA under the terms of the Settlement Agreement and Consent Order to propose alternatives that the MPCA will consider to address the presence of perfluorinated compounds in the soil and groundwater at these sites.

The Company cannot predict what regulatory actions arising from the foregoing proceedings and activities, if any, may be taken regarding such compounds or the consequences of any such actions.

In February 2008, the EPA notified the Company that it is seeking \$173,000 in penalties due to alleged past violations of certain monitoring and record keeping requirements under federal air pollution regulations at the Company s manufacturing facility in Cottage Grove, Minnesota. The Company had been operating under a monitoring and record keeping approach that had been approved by the

MPCA. The EPA has now approved the Company s alternative monitoring and record keeping approach.

*Litigation:* As previously reported, a former employee filed a purported class action lawsuit in 2002 in the Circuit Court of Morgan County, Alabama, involving perfluorooctanyl chemistry, alleging that the plaintiffs suffered fear, increased risk, subclinical injuries, and property damage from exposure to perfluorooctanyl chemistry at or near the Company s Decatur, Alabama, manufacturing facility. The Circuit Court in 2005 granted the Company s motion to dismiss the named plaintiff s personal injury-related claims on the basis that such claims are barred by the exclusivity provisions of the state s Workers Compensation Act. The plaintiffs counsel filed an amended complaint in November 2006, limiting the case to property damage claims on behalf of a purported class of residents and property owners in the vicinity of the Decatur plant. Also in 2005, the judge in a second purported class action lawsuit (filed by three residents of Morgan County, Alabama, seeking unstated compensatory and punitive damages involving alleged damage to their property from emissions of perfluorooctanyl compounds from the Company s Decatur, Alabama, manufacturing facility that formerly manufactured those compounds) granted the Company s motion to abate the case, effectively putting the case on hold pending the resolution of class certification issues in the action described above filed in the same court in 2002. Despite the stay, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint seeking damages for alleged personal injuries and property damage on behalf of the named plaintiffs and the members of a purported class. No further action in the case is expected unless and until the stay is lifted.

As previously reported, two residents of Washington County, Minnesota, filed in October 2004 a purported class action in the District Court of Washington County on behalf of Washington county residents who have allegedly suffered personal injuries and property damage from alleged emissions from the former perfluorooctanyl production facility at Cottage Grove, Minnesota, and from historic waste disposal sites in the vicinity of that facility. After the District Court granted the Company s motion to dismiss the claims for medical monitoring and public nuisance in April 2005, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint adding additional allegations involving other perfluorinated compounds manufactured by the Company, alleging additional legal theories in support of their claims, adding four plaintiffs, and seeking relief based on alleged contamination of the City of Oakdale municipal water supply and certain private wells in the vicinity of Lake Elmo, Minnesota. In April 2006, the plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint adding two additional plaintiffs. The two original plaintiffs thereafter dismissed their claims against the Company. After a hearing on the plaintiffs motion to certify the case as a class action at the end of March 2007, the Court on June 19, 2007 denied the plaintiffs motion to certify the litigation as a class action. The trial of the individual cases is scheduled for January 2009.

Several hundred plaintiffs who claim to have lived in the vicinity of the ACME Barrel Company s storage drum reconditioning facility in Chicago, Illinois, filed a lawsuit in the third quarter of 2003 in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, against 3M and a number of other companies that allegedly were customers of ACME Barrel. Since the Court rejected plaintiffs attempt to have this litigation proceed as a class action, 71 individuals have asserted claims against the Company and several other defendants for damages allegedly caused by emissions of hazardous materials from the ACME Barrel drum reconditioning facility.

---

In the second quarter of 2006, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection served a lawsuit that was filed in New Jersey state court against the Company and several other companies seeking cleanup and removal costs and damages to natural resources allegedly caused by the discharge of hazardous substances from two former waste disposal sites in New Jersey. During the fourth quarter, the Company negotiated a settlement of New Jersey s claims. Under the terms of the settlement, the company will transfer to the State of New Jersey 150 acres of undeveloped land with groundwater recharge potential, which the Company acquired for purposes of the settlement, and will pay the state s attorneys fees. Notice of the settlement was published for public comment in December 2007, and no objections were received. As a result, the Company and the State of New Jersey have signed the formal settlement agreement pursuant to which the Company will transfer title to the property and will be dismissed from the lawsuit, which will continue against the codefendants.

#### Accrued Liabilities and Insurance Receivables Related to Legal Proceedings

The Company complies with the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, and related guidance, and records liabilities for legal proceedings in those instances where it can reasonably estimate the amount of the loss and where liability is probable. Where the reasonable estimate of the probable loss is a range, the Company records the most likely estimate of the loss, or the low end of the range if there is no one best estimate. The Company either discloses the amount of a possible loss or range of loss in excess of established reserves if estimable, or states that such an estimate cannot be made. For those insured matters where the Company has taken a reserve, the Company also records receivables for the amount of insurance that it expects to recover under the Company s insurance program. For those insured matters where the Company has not taken a reserve because the liability is not probable or the amount of the liability is not estimable, or both, but where the Company has incurred an expense in defending itself, the Company records receivables for the amount of insurance that it expects to recover for the expense incurred. The Company discloses significant legal proceedings even where liability is not probable or the amount of the liability is not estimable, or both, if the Company believes there is at least a reasonable possibility that a loss may be incurred.

Because litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties, and unfavorable rulings or developments could occur, there can be no certainty that the Company may not ultimately incur charges in excess of presently recorded liabilities. A future adverse ruling, settlement, or unfavorable development could result in future charges that could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or cash flows in the period in which they are recorded. The Company currently believes that such future charges, if any, would not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position of the Company, taking into account its significant available insurance coverage. Based on experience and developments, the Company periodically reexamines its estimates of probable liabilities and associated expenses and receivables, and whether it is able to estimate a liability previously determined to be not estimable and/or not probable. Where appropriate, the Company makes additions to or adjustments of its estimated liabilities. As a result, the current estimates of the potential impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the legal proceedings and claims pending against the Company could change in the future.

The Company estimates insurance receivables based on an analysis of its numerous policies, including their exclusions, pertinent case law interpreting comparable policies, its experience with similar claims, and assessment of the nature of the claim, and records an amount it has concluded is likely to be recovered.

The following table shows the major categories of on-going litigation, environmental remediation and other environmental liabilities for which the Company has been able to estimate its probable liability and for which the Company has taken reserves and the related insurance receivables:

<b>At December 31</b> <b>(Millions)</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Breast implant liabilities	\$ 1	\$ 4	\$ 7
Breast implant receivables	64	93	130
Respirator mask/asbestos liabilities	121	181	210
Respirator mask/asbestos receivables	332	380	447
Environmental remediation liabilities	37	44	30
Environmental remediation receivables	15	15	15
Other environmental liabilities	147	14	8

For those significant pending legal proceedings that do not appear in the table and that are not the subject of pending settlement agreements, the Company has determined that liability is not probable or the amount of the liability is not estimable, or both, and the Company is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss at this time. The amounts in the preceding table with respect to breast implant and environmental remediation represent the Company's best estimate of the respective liabilities. The Company does not believe that there is any single best estimate of the respirator/mask/asbestos liability or the other environmental liabilities shown above, nor that it can reliably estimate the amount or range of amounts by which those liabilities may exceed the reserves the Company has established.

**Breast Implant Insurance Receivables:** In the breast implant insurance coverage litigation, the District Court in Ramsey County Minnesota entered an order in September 2007 dismissing from the suit the last of the insurers that were still contesting the extent of their coverage for the Company's breast implant product liability claims. The dismissal was pursuant to a settlement the Company reached with those insurers during the third quarter of 2007. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had receivables for insurance recoveries related to the breast implant matter of \$64 million. The Company received \$29 million in 2007, reducing this receivable by that amount. The Company also received \$48 million in January 2008 and expects to receive an additional \$10 million by the end of 2008 pursuant to a settlement agreement with three insurers. The Company continues to pursue recovery against its remaining insurers and expects to collect the remaining receivable.

**Respirator Mask/Asbestos Liabilities and Insurance Receivables:** The Company estimates its respirator mask/asbestos liabilities, including the cost to resolve the claim and defense costs, by examining: (i) the Company's experience in resolving claims, (ii) apparent trends, (iii) the apparent quality of claims (e.g., whether the claim has been asserted on behalf of asymptomatic claimants), (iv) changes in the nature and mix of claims (e.g., the proportion of claims asserting usage of the Company's mask or respirator products and alleging exposure to each of asbestos, silica, coal or other occupational dusts, and claims pleading use of asbestos-containing products allegedly manufactured by the Company), (v) the number of current claims and a projection of the number of future asbestos and other claims that may be filed against the Company, (vi) the cost to resolve recently settled claims, and (vii) an estimate of the cost to resolve and defend against current and future claims. Because of the inherent difficulty in projecting the number of claims that have not yet been asserted, particularly with respect to the Company's respiratory products that themselves did not contain any harmful materials (which makes the various

published studies that purport to project future asbestos claims substantially removed from the Company's principal experience and which themselves vary widely), the Company does not believe that there is any single best estimate of this liability, nor that it can reliably estimate the amount or range of amounts by which the liability may exceed the reserve the Company has established. No liability has been recorded regarding the pending action brought by the West Virginia Attorney General previously described.

Developments may occur that could affect the Company's estimate of its liabilities. These developments include, but are not limited to, significant changes in (i) the number of future claims, (ii) the average cost of resolving claims, (iii) the legal costs of defending these claims and in maintaining trial readiness, (iv) changes in the mix and nature of claims received, (v) trial and appellate outcomes, (vi) changes in the law and procedure applicable to these claims, and (vii) the financial viability of other co-defendants and insurers.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company's receivable for insurance recoveries related to the respirator mask/asbestos litigation was \$332 million. Various factors could affect the timing and amount of recovery of this receivable, including (i) delays in or avoidance of payment by insurers; (ii) the extent to which insurers may become insolvent in the future, and (iii) the outcome of negotiations with insurers and legal proceedings with respect to respirator mask/asbestos liability insurance coverage. The difference between the accrued liability and insurance receivable represents in part the time delay between payment of claims on the one hand and receipt of insurance reimbursements on the other hand. Because of the lag time between settlement and payment of a claim, no meaningful conclusions may be drawn from quarterly changes in the amount of receivables for expected insurance recoveries and the quarterly changes in the number of claimants at the end of each quarter.

On January 5, 2007 the Company was served with a declaratory judgment action filed on behalf of two of its insurers (Continental Casualty and Continental Insurance Co.) disclaiming coverage for respirator/mask claims. The action was filed in Hennepin County, Minnesota and names, in addition to the Company, over 60 of the Company's insurers. This action is similar in nature to an action filed in 1994 with respect to breast implant coverage, which ultimately resulted in the Minnesota Supreme Court's ruling of 2003 that was largely in the Company's favor. At the company's request, the case was transferred to Ramsey County, over the objections of the insurers. The Minnesota Supreme Court agreed to hear the insurers' appeal of that decision. Oral argument on the appeal is scheduled for March 2008.

*Environmental and Other Liabilities and Insurance Receivables:* As of December 31, 2007, the Company had recorded liabilities of \$37 million for estimated environmental remediation costs based upon an evaluation of currently available facts with respect to each individual site and also recorded related insurance receivables of \$15 million. The Company records liabilities for remediation costs on an undiscounted basis when they are probable and reasonably estimable, generally no later than the completion of feasibility studies or the Company's commitment to a plan of action. Liabilities for estimated costs of environmental remediation, depending on the site, are based primarily upon internal or third-party environmental studies, and estimates as to the number, participation level and financial viability of any other potentially responsible parties, the extent of the contamination and the nature of required remedial actions. The Company adjusts recorded liabilities as further information develops or circumstances change. The Company expects that it will pay the amounts recorded over the periods of remediation for the applicable sites, currently ranging up to 30 years.

---

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had recorded liabilities of \$147 million for estimated other environmental liabilities based upon an evaluation of currently available facts. As previously reported, the Company increased its other environmental liabilities by \$121 million in the first quarter of 2007 as a result of regulatory developments in Minnesota and the completion of a comprehensive review with environmental consultants regarding its other environmental liabilities which include the estimated costs of addressing trace amounts of perfluorinated compounds in drinking water sources in the City of Oakdale and Lake Elmo, Minnesota, as well as presence in the soil and groundwater at the Company's manufacturing facilities in Decatur, Alabama, and Cottage Grove, Minnesota, and at two former disposal sites in Minnesota. The Company expects that most of the spending will occur over the next three to seven years. While the Company is not able to estimate the total costs of implementing the Settlement Agreement and Consent Order with the MPCA (described above under *Environmental Matters and Litigation - Regulatory Matters*), the Company increased its other environmental liabilities by an additional \$13 million in the second quarter of 2007 to reflect its best estimate of the specific payment obligations under that agreement.

It is difficult to estimate the cost of environmental compliance and remediation given the uncertainties regarding the interpretation and enforcement of applicable environmental laws and regulations, the extent of environmental contamination and the existence of alternate cleanup methods. Developments may occur that could affect the Company's current assessment, including, but not limited to: (i) changes in the information available regarding the environmental impact of the Company's operations and products; (ii) changes in environmental regulations, changes in permissible levels of specific compounds in drinking water sources, or changes in enforcement theories and policies, including efforts to recover natural resource damages; (iii) new and evolving analytical and remediation techniques; (iv) success in allocating liability to other potentially responsible parties; and (v) the financial viability of other potentially responsible parties and third-party indemnitors.

**NOTE 14. Employee Savings and Stock Ownership Plans**

The Company sponsors employee savings plans under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. These plans are offered to substantially all regular U.S. employees. Employee contributions of up to 6% of compensation are matched at rates ranging from 35% to 50%, with additional Company contributions depending upon Company performance. All Company contributions initially are invested in 3M common stock, with employee contributions invested in a number of investment funds pursuant to their elections. Vested employees may diversify their 3M shares into other investment options.

The Company maintains an Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP). This plan was established in 1989 as a cost-effective way of funding the majority of the Company's contributions under 401(k) employee savings plans. Total ESOP shares are considered to be shares outstanding for earnings per share calculations.

Dividends on shares held by the ESOP are paid to the ESOP trust and, together with Company contributions, are used by the ESOP to repay principal and interest on the outstanding ESOP debt. The tax benefit related to dividends paid on unallocated shares was charged directly to equity and totaled approximately \$3 million in 2007, \$3 million in 2006, and \$4 million in 2005. Over the life of the ESOP debt, shares are released for allocation to participants based on the ratio of the current year's debt service to the remaining debt service prior to the current payment.

The ESOP has been the primary funding source for the Company's employee savings plans. As permitted by AICPA Statement of Position 93-6, Employers' Accounting for Employee Stock Ownership Plans, the Company has elected to continue its practices, which are based on Statement of Position 76-3, Accounting Practices for Certain Employee Stock Ownership Plans and subsequent consensus of the EITF of the FASB. Accordingly, the debt of the ESOP is recorded as debt, and shares pledged as collateral are reported as unearned compensation in the Consolidated Balance Sheet and Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income. Unearned compensation is reduced symmetrically as the ESOP makes principal payments on the debt. Expenses related to the ESOP include total debt service on the notes, less dividends. The Company contributes treasury shares, accounted for at fair value, to employee savings plans to cover obligations not funded by the ESOP (reported as an employee benefit expense).

**Employee Savings and Stock Ownership Plans  
(Millions)**

	2007	2006	2005
Dividends on shares held by the ESOP	\$ 37	\$ 39	\$ 36
Company contributions to the ESOP	10	9	12
Interest incurred on ESOP notes	5	8	10
Amounts reported as an employee benefit expense:			
Expenses related to ESOP debt service	5	4	7
Expenses related to treasury shares	34	36	27

80

ESOP Debt Shares	2007	2006	2005
Allocated	14,039,070	15,956,530	16,729,528
Committed to be released	278,125	286,620	366,969
Unreleased	2,457,641	3,831,425	5,145,039
Total ESOP debt shares	16,774,836	20,074,575	22,241,536

**NOTE 15. Management Stock Ownership Program (MSOP) and General Employees' Stock Purchase Plan (GESPP)**

The Company issues MSOP options to eligible employees annually in May using the closing stock price on the grant date, which is the date of the Annual Stockholders' Meeting. In May 2005, shareholders approved 36.75 million shares for issuance under the MSOP in the form of management stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock appreciation rights. Under the plan, the Company has principally issued stock options to management employees that are granted at market value on the date of grant. Prior to 2005, under previous plans, these options were generally exercisable one year after the date of grant, with expiration 10 years from the date of grant. Effective with the May 2005 grant, the Company changed its vesting period from one to three years with the expiration date remaining at 10 years from date of grant. In addition to grants to management employees, the Company makes other minor stock option grants to employees, for which vesting terms and option lives are not substantially different, and also makes minor grants of restricted stock units and other stock-based grants.

Outstanding shares under option include grants from previous plans. There were approximately 15,400 participants in the plan with either outstanding options or restricted stock units at December 31, 2007.

## Management Stock Ownership Program

	2007		2006		2005	
	Number of Options	Exercise Price*	Number of Options	Exercise Price*	Number of Options	Exercise Price*
Under option						
January 1	<b>82,867,903</b>	<b>\$ 67.41</b>	80,157,713	\$ 62.40	78,293,754	\$ 58.70
Granted						
Annual	<b>4,434,583</b>	<b>84.81</b>	11,255,448	87.31	10,821,092	76.81
Progressive (Reload)	<b>461,815</b>	<b>87.12</b>	652,552	80.44	751,995	81.19
Other	<b>51,730</b>	<b>82.93</b>	84,400	76.45	570,631	78.07
Exercised	<b>(12,498,051)</b>	<b>55.34</b>	(8,693,946)	47.71	(9,027,646)	48.30
Canceled	<b>(704,929)</b>	<b>77.36</b>	(588,264)	74.72	(1,252,113)	75.65
December 31	<b>74,613,051</b>	<b>\$ 70.50</b>	82,867,903	\$ 67.41	80,157,713	\$ 62.40
Options exercisable						
December 31	<b>58,816,963</b>	<b>\$ 66.83</b>	64,218,738	\$ 62.85	68,714,166	\$ 60.03

\*Weighted average

For options outstanding at December 31, 2007, the weighted-average remaining contractual life was 66 months and the aggregate intrinsic value was \$1.070 billion. For options exercisable at December 31, 2007, the weighted-average remaining contractual life was 57 months and the aggregate intrinsic value was \$1.042 billion. As of December 31, 2007, there was \$118 million of compensation expense that has yet to be recognized related to non-vested stock option-based awards. This expense is expected to be recognized over the remaining vesting period with a weighted-average life of 18 months. The total intrinsic values of stock options exercised during 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, was \$373 million, \$289 million and \$278 million. Cash received from options exercised during 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, was \$692 million, \$414 million and \$437 million.

The Company's actual tax benefits realized for the tax deductions related to the exercise of employee stock options for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, was \$122 million, \$93 million and \$95 million. The Company does not have a specific policy to repurchase common shares to mitigate the dilutive impact of options; however, the Company has historically made adequate discretionary purchases, based on cash availability, market trends and other factors, to satisfy stock option exercise activity.

Beginning in 2007, the Company began reducing the number of traditional stock options granted under its long-term incentive compensation plan by reducing the number of employees eligible to receive annual grants and by shifting a

portion of the annual grant away from traditional stock options primarily to restricted stock units. These changes will reduce the annual dilution impact from 1.5% of total outstanding common stock to about 1%. However, associated with the reduction in the number of eligible employees, the Company provided a one-time buyout grant to the impacted employees, which resulted in increased stock-based compensation expense in 2007. The following table summarizes MSOP restricted stock and restricted stock unit activity during the twelve months ended December 31, 2007:

### Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units

	Number of Awards	Grant Date Fair Value*
Nonvested balance		
As of January 1, 2007	<b>411,562</b>	<b>\$ 78.11</b>
Granted		
Annual	<b>1,695,592</b>	<b>77.88</b>
Other	<b>22,465</b>	<b>50.88</b>
Vested	<b>(90,913)</b>	<b>77.38</b>
Forfeited	<b>(37,125)</b>	<b>79.04</b>
As of December 31, 2007	<b>2,001,581</b>	<b>\$ 77.63</b>

\*Weighted average

As of December 31, 2007, there was \$97 million of compensation expense that has yet to be recognized related to non-vested restricted stock and restricted stock units. This expense is expected to be recognized over the remaining vesting period with a weighted-average life of 39 months. The total fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock units that vested during the twelve-month periods ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$6 million and \$5 million, respectively.

In addition, the Company issues cash settled Restricted Stock Units and Stock Appreciation Rights in certain countries. These grants do not result in the issuance of Common Stock and are considered immaterial by the Company.

The remaining total MSOP shares available for grant under the 2005 MSOP Program are 4,408,083, 13,074,202 and 24,937,892, respectively, as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. Restricted stock and restricted stock units, per the 2005 MSOP Program, shall be counted against the total shares available as 2.45 shares for every one share issued in connection with that award.

Effective with the May 2005 grant, the Company no longer issues options eligible for additional progressive (reload) options; however, when a progressive option is issued upon the exercise of a pre-May 2005 non-qualified stock option, the option is revalued and additional stock compensation expense is incurred.

For annual and progressive (reload) options, the weighted average fair value at the date of grant was calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and the assumptions that follow.

### MSOP Assumptions

	Annual			Progressive (Reload)		
	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005
Exercise price	\$ 84.79	\$ 87.23	\$ 76.87	\$ 87.12	\$ 80.44	\$ 81.19
Risk-free interest rate	4.6%	5.0%	4.0%	4.6%	4.5%	3.7%
Dividend yield	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%
Volatility	20.0%	20.0%	23.5%	18.4%	20.1%	20.9%
Expected life (months)	69	69	69	25	39	40
Black-Scholes fair value	\$ 18.12	\$ 19.81	\$ 18.28	\$ 13.26	\$ 12.53	\$ 13.18

In connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, in 2005 the Company reviewed and updated, among other things, its volatility and expected term assumptions. Expected volatility is a statistical measure of the amount by which a stock price is expected to fluctuate during a period. For the 2007, 2006 and 2005 annual grant date, the Company estimated the expected volatility based upon the average of the most recent one year volatility, the median of the term of the expected life rolling volatility, the median of the most recent term of the expected life volatility of 3M stock, and the implied volatility on the grant date. The expected term assumption is based on the weighted average of historical grants and assuming that options outstanding are exercised at the midpoint of the future remaining term.

As previously mentioned, the Company expanded its utilization of restricted stock units in conjunction with the May 2007 MSOP Annual Grant. The May 2007 annual restricted stock unit grant does not accrue dividends during the vesting period and vests over three years. The 2007 one-time buyout restricted stock unit grant vests over five years.

### General Employees Stock Purchase Plan (GESPP):

In May 1997, shareholders approved 30 million shares for issuance under the Company's GESPP. Substantially all employees are eligible to participate in the plan. Participants are granted options at 85% of market value at the date of grant. There are no GESPP shares under option at the beginning or end of each year because options are granted on the first business day and exercised on the last business day of the same month.

### General Employees Stock Purchase Plan

	2007		2006		2005	
	Shares	Exercise Price*	Shares	Exercise Price*	Shares	Exercise Price*
Options granted	1,507,335	\$ 69.34	1,656,554	\$ 65.25	1,646,521	\$ 66.11
Options exercised	(1,507,335)	69.34	(1,656,554)	65.25	(1,646,521)	66.11

Shares available for grant	December 31	<b>8,940,650</b>	10,447,985	12,104,539
----------------------------	-------------	------------------	------------	------------

\*Weighted average

The weighted-average fair value per option granted during 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$12.24, \$11.51 and \$11.67, respectively. The fair value of GESPP options was based on the 15% purchase price discount. The Company recognized compensation expense for GESPP options of \$18 million in 2007, \$19 million in 2006, and \$19 million in 2005.

**MSOP / GESPP Stock-based Compensation Expense:**

The impact of stock-based compensation on net income and earnings per share provided below for the year ended December 31, 2005, was recognized over the nominal vesting period, whereby if an employee retired before the end of the vesting period, the Company would recognize any remaining unrecognized compensation cost at the date of retirement. SFAS No. 123R requires recognition under a non-substantive vesting period approach, requiring compensation expense recognition when an employee is eligible to retire. 3M employees in the United States are eligible to retire beginning at age 55 and after having completed five years of service. Approximately 25% of the number of stock-based compensation awards are made to this population. The Company changed to the non-substantive vesting period approach for new stock compensation grants made after the Company's adoption of SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006. Therefore, primarily beginning in May 2006 with the annual MSOP grant, immediate expensing of those stock-based compensation awards granted to employees eligible to retire resulted in higher compensation expense than historically recognized in comparable prior periods. Capitalized stock-based compensation amounts were not material for 2007, 2006 and 2005. The income tax benefits can fluctuate by period due to the amount of Incentive Stock Options (ISO) exercised since the Company receives the ISO tax benefit upon exercise. The Company last granted ISO's in 2002. Amounts recognized in the financial statements with respect to both the MSOP and GESPP are as follows:

**MSOP / GESPP STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION EXPENSE**

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Years ended December 31		
	2007	2006	2005
Cost of sales	\$ 47	\$ 42	\$ 27
Selling, general and administrative expenses	137	119	96
Research, development and related expenses	44	39	32
Operating Income (Loss)	\$ (228)	\$ (200)	\$ (155)
Income tax benefits	\$ 93	\$ 72	\$ 67
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (135)	\$ (128)	\$ (88)
Earnings per share impact diluted	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.14)
Earnings per share diluted	\$ 5.60	\$ 5.06	\$ 3.98

The following table adjusts the revised diluted earnings per share for 2005 from the preceding table to reflect the approximate impact of using the non-substantive vesting period approach for these periods.

Stock-Based Compensation Pro Forma Earnings Per Share Diluted	2005
Earnings per share diluted	\$ 3.98
Impact of retirement-eligible employees	\$ (0.02)
Pro forma (adjusted to reflect non-substantive vesting period approach)	\$ 3.96

**NOTE 16. Business Segments**

Effective in the first quarter of 2007, 3M made certain changes to its business segments in its continuing effort to drive growth by

aligning businesses around markets and customers. The most significant of these changes are summarized as follows:

- 3M's new emerging business opportunity in its Track and Trace initiative resulted in the merging of a number of formerly separate efforts into one concerted effort for future growth. Track and Trace has a growing array of applications from tracking packages to managing medical and legal records. The establishment of this new initiative within 3M's Safety, Security and Protection Services segment resulted in the transfer of certain businesses to this segment from other segments, including the transfer of HighJump Software Inc., a 3M U.S.-based subsidiary that provides supply chain execution software and solutions (Industrial and Transportation segment) and the transfer of certain Track and Trace products from the Electro and Communications segment.
- 3M's Visual Systems business (Consumer and Office segment), which offers analog overhead and electronic projectors and film, was transferred to the Electro and Communications segment. This transfer is intended to leverage common markets, customers, suppliers and technologies.
- 3M's Industrial and Transportation segment (Energy and Advanced Materials business) transferred the 3M Aluminum Conductor Composite Reinforced (ACCR) electrical power cable to the Electro and Communications segment (Electrical Markets business). With an aluminum-based metal matrix at its core, the ACCR product increases transmission capacity for existing power lines. The Electrical Markets business sells insulating, testing and connecting products to various markets, including the electric utility markets.
- Certain adhesives and tapes in the Industrial and Transportation segment (Industrial Adhesives and Tapes business) were transferred to the Consumer and Office segment (primarily related to the Construction and Home Improvement business and the Stationery Products business) and to the Electro and Communications segment (Electronics Markets Materials business). Certain maintenance-free respirator products for the consumer market in 3M's Safety, Security and Protection Services segment were transferred to the Consumer and Office segment (Construction and Home Improvement business).
- 3M transferred Film Manufacturing and Supply Chain Operations, a resource for the manufacturing and development of films and materials, to the Display and Graphics Business from Corporate and Unallocated.

The financial information presented herein reflects the impact of all of the preceding changes for all periods presented.

3M's businesses are organized, managed and internally grouped into segments based on differences in products, technologies and services. 3M continues to manage its operations in six operating business segments: Industrial and Transportation segment, Health Care segment, Display and Graphics segment, Consumer and Office segment, Safety, Security and Protection Services segment and Electro and Communications segment. 3M's six business segments bring together common or related 3M technologies, enhancing the development of innovative products and services and providing for efficient sharing of business resources. These segments have worldwide responsibility for virtually all 3M product lines. 3M is not dependent on any single product or market. Certain small businesses and lab-sponsored products, as well as various corporate assets and expenses, are not allocated to the business segments.

Transactions among reportable segments are recorded at cost. 3M is an integrated enterprise characterized by substantial intersegment cooperation, cost allocations and inventory transfers. Therefore, management does not represent that these segments, if operated independently, would report the operating income and other financial information shown. The allocations resulting from the shared utilization of assets are not necessarily indicative of the underlying activity for segment assets, depreciation and amortization, and capital expenditures.

## Business Segment Products

Business Segment	Major Products
Industrial and Transportation	Tapes, coated and nonwoven abrasives, adhesives, specialty materials, filtration products, closures for disposable diapers, automotive components, abrasion-resistant films, structural adhesives and paint finishing and detailing products
Health Care	Medical and surgical supplies, skin health and infection prevention products, pharmaceuticals (sold in December 2006 and January 2007), drug delivery systems, dental and orthodontic products, health information systems and microbiology products
Display and Graphics	Optical films and lens solutions for electronic displays, touch screens and touch monitors, reflective sheeting for transportation safety, and commercial graphics systems

Consumer and Office	Sponges, scouring pads, high-performance cloths, consumer and office tapes, repositionable notes, carpet and fabric protectors, construction and home improvement products, home care products, protective material products and consumer health care products
Safety, Security and Protection Services	Personal protection products, safety and security products, energy control products, commercial cleaning and protection products, floor matting, roofing granules for asphalt shingles, and Track and Trace products, such as supply chain execution software solutions
Electro and Communications	Packaging and interconnection devices, insulating and splicing solutions for the electronics, telecommunications, electrical industries, and visual systems

**Business Segment Information**

(Millions)	Net Sales			Operating Income		
	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005
Industrial and Transportation	\$ 7,274	\$ 6,640	\$ 6,047	\$ 1,501	\$ 1,342	\$ 1,210
Health Care	3,968	4,011	3,760	1,882	1,845	1,114
Display and Graphics	3,892	3,770	3,547	1,174	1,044	1,148
Consumer and Office	3,403	3,164	2,926	688	629	609
Safety, Security and Protection Services	3,070	2,663	2,320	611	549	513
Electro and Communications	2,775	2,631	2,509	481	411	422
Corporate and Unallocated	80	44	58	(144)	(124)	(162)
<b>Total Company</b>	<b>\$ 24,462</b>	<b>\$ 22,923</b>	<b>\$ 21,167</b>	<b>\$ 6,193</b>	<b>\$ 5,696</b>	<b>\$ 4,854</b>

(Millions)	Assets			Depreciation & Amortization			Capital Expenditures		
	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005
Industrial and Transportation	\$ 5,872	\$ 5,180	\$ 5,013	\$ 294	\$ 287	\$ 282	\$ 396	\$ 284	\$ 263
Health Care	2,909	2,477	2,166	138	162	131	213	159	138
Display and Graphics	3,199	3,035	2,775	222	232	189	341	323	237
Consumer and Office	1,720	1,577	1,476	82	77	83	101	103	79
Safety, Security and Protection Services	2,344	2,061	1,429	161	120	121	205	151	100
Electro and Communications	2,063	2,003	1,877	146	173	155	136	117	113
Corporate and Unallocated	6,587	4,961	5,805	29	28	25	30	31	13
<b>Total Company</b>	<b>\$ 24,694</b>	<b>\$ 21,294</b>	<b>\$ 20,541</b>	<b>\$ 1,072</b>	<b>\$ 1,079</b>	<b>\$ 986</b>	<b>\$ 1,422</b>	<b>\$ 1,168</b>	<b>\$ 943</b>

Segment assets for the operating business segments (excluding Corporate and Unallocated) primarily include accounts receivable; inventory; property, plant and equipment net; goodwill and intangible assets; and other miscellaneous assets. Assets included in Corporate and Unallocated principally are cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities; insurance receivables; deferred income taxes; certain investments and other assets, including prepaid pension assets; and certain unallocated property, plant and equipment. Corporate and unallocated assets can change from year to year due to changes in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities, changes in prepaid pension and postretirement benefits, and changes in other unallocated asset categories. For management reporting purposes, corporate goodwill (which at December 31, 2007, totaled approximately \$400 million) is not allocated to the six operating business segments. In Note 3, corporate goodwill has been allocated to the respective market segments as required by SFAS No. 142 for impairment testing.

Corporate and Unallocated operating income principally includes corporate investment gains and losses, certain derivative gains and losses, insurance-related gains and losses, certain litigation expenses, corporate restructuring program charges and other miscellaneous items. Because this category includes a variety of miscellaneous items, it is subject to fluctuation on a quarterly and annual basis.

Refer to Note 2 and Note 4 for discussion of items that significantly impact business segment reported results. The most significant items impacting both 2007 and 2006 results are the net gain on sale of the pharmaceuticals business (within the Health Care segment) and restructuring and other actions.

**NOTE 17. Geographic Areas**

Geographic area information is used by the Company as a secondary performance measure to manage its businesses. Export sales and certain income and expense items are reported within the geographic area where the final sales to 3M customers are made.

(Millions)	Net sales to customers			Operating Income			Property, plant and equipment, net		
	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005
United States	\$ 8,987	\$ 8,853	\$ 8,267	\$ 1,692	\$ 1,908	\$ 1,200	\$ 3,668	\$ 3,382	\$ 3,291
Asia Pacific	6,601	6,251	5,744	2,136	2,097	2,085	1,116	959	865
Europe, Middle East and Africa	6,503	5,726	5,219	1,705	1,092	1,057	1,308	1,162	1,076
Latin America and Canada	2,365	2,080	1,881	665	629	512	490	404	361
Other Unallocated	6	13	56	(5)	(30)				
Total Company	\$ 24,462	\$ 22,923	\$ 21,167	\$ 6,193	\$ 5,696	\$ 4,854	\$ 6,582	\$ 5,907	\$ 5,593

Both 2007 and 2006 operating income results by geographic area were significantly impacted by the sale of businesses and restructuring and other exit activities. Refer to Note 2 and Note 4 for discussion of these items.

Asia Pacific includes Japan net sales to customers of \$2.063 billion in 2007, \$2.048 billion in 2006, and \$2.094 billion in 2005. Asia Pacific includes Japan net property, plant and equipment of \$357 million in 2007, \$345 million in 2006, and \$350 million in 2005.

**NOTE 18. Quarterly Data (Unaudited)**

(Millions, except per-share amounts)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Year 2007
<b>2007</b>					
Net sales	\$ 5,937	\$ 6,142	\$ 6,177	\$ 6,206	\$ 24,462
Cost of sales	3,022	3,175	3,240	3,298	12,735
Net income	1,368	917	960	851	4,096
Earnings per share basic	1.88	1.28	1.34	1.20	5.70
Earnings per share diluted	1.85	1.25	1.32	1.17	5.60
<b>2006</b>					
Net sales	\$ 5,595	\$ 5,688	\$ 5,858	\$ 5,782	\$ 22,923
Cost of sales	2,721	2,840	2,990	3,162	11,713
Net income	899	882	894	1,176	3,851
Earnings per share basic	1.19	1.17	1.20	1.60	5.15
Earnings per share diluted	1.17	1.15	1.18	1.57	5.06

Gross profit is calculated as net sales minus cost of sales. In 2007, gains on sales of businesses and real estate, net of restructuring and other items, increased net income by \$448 million, or \$0.62 per diluted share, with \$422 million, or \$0.57 per diluted share recorded in the first quarter of 2007. 2007 included net benefits from gains related to the sale of businesses and a gain on sale of real estate, which were partially offset by increases in environmental liabilities, restructuring actions, and other exit activities. In 2006, a gain on sale, net of restructuring and other items, increased net income by \$438 million, or \$0.57 per diluted share, with \$354 million, or \$0.47 per diluted share, recorded in the fourth quarter of 2006. 2006 included net benefits from gains related to the sale of certain portions of 3M's branded pharmaceuticals business and favorable income tax adjustments, which were partially offset by restructuring actions, acquired in-process research and development expenses, settlement costs of a previously disclosed antitrust class action, and environmental obligations related to the pharmaceuticals business.

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.**

None.

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.**

a. The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.